

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION**[SEC File No. 270-491; OMB Control No.  
3235-0548]**Proposed Collection; Comment  
Request**

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736

*Extension:*  
Rule 35d-1

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 35d-1 (17 CFR 270.35d-1) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1 *et seq.*) defines as “materially deceptive and misleading” for purposes of Section 35(d), among other things, a name suggesting that a registered investment company or series thereof (a “fund”) focuses its investments in a particular type of investment or investments, in investments in a particular industry or group of industries, or in investments in a particular country or geographic region, unless, among other things, the fund adopts a certain investment policy. Rule 35d-1 further requires either that the investment policy is fundamental or that the fund has adopted a policy to provide its shareholders with at least 60 days prior notice of any change in the investment policy (“notice to shareholders”). The rule’s notice to shareholders provision is intended to ensure that when shareholders purchase shares in a fund based, at least in part, on its name, and with the expectation that it will follow the investment policy suggested by that name, they will have sufficient time to decide whether to redeem their shares in the event that the fund decides to pursue a different investment policy.

The Commission estimates that there are approximately 11,502 open-end and closed-end funds that have names that are covered by the rule. The Commission estimates that of these 11,502 funds, approximately 38 will provide prior notice to shareholders pursuant to a policy adopted in

accordance with this rule per year. The Commission estimates that the annual burden associated with the notice to shareholders requirement of the rule is 20 hours per response, for annual total of 760 hours per year.

Estimates of average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. Providing prior notice to shareholders under rule 35d-1 is not mandatory. An investment company may choose to have a name that does not indicate that the fund focuses its investments in a particular type of investment or investments, or in investments in a particular industry or group of industry. If an investment company does choose such a name, it will only need to provide prior notice to shareholders of a change in its 80% investment policy if it first has adopted a policy to provide notice and then has decided to change this investment policy. The information provided under rule 35d-1 will not be kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Cynthia Roscoe, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549; or send an email to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: August 25, 2021.

**Jill M. Peterson,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2021-18700 Filed 8-30-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION**[Release No. 34-92754; File No. SR-Phlx-  
2021-47]**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq  
PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and  
Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed  
Rule Change To Amend Equity 7,  
Section 3 To Adopt an Enhanced  
Market Quality Program**

August 25, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on August 12, 2021, Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s  
Statement of the Terms of Substance of  
the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend Equity 7, Section 3 to adopt an Enhanced Market Quality Program and a related credit, as described further below.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/phlx/rules>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s  
Statement of the Purpose of, and  
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule  
Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Equity 7, Section 3 to adopt an Enhanced Market Quality Program and a related credit.

The Enhanced Market Quality Program is intended to provide supplemental incentives to member organizations that meet certain quality standards in acting as market makers for securities on the Exchange by incentivizing such member organizations to make a significant contribution to market quality by providing liquidity at the national best bid and offer (“NBBO”) in a large number of securities for a significant portion of the day. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to make a lump sum payment at the end of each month (a “Fixed Payment”) to a member organization to the extent that the member organization, through one or more of its MPIDs, quotes at the NBBO for at least a threshold percentage of the time during Market Hours in an average number of securities per day during the month, as specified below. On a daily

basis, the Exchange will determine the number of securities in which each of a member organization’s MPIDs satisfied the NBBO requirement. The Exchange will aggregate all of a member organization’s MPIDs to determine the number of securities for purposes of the NBBO requirement. The program is open to all member organizations. A member organization may but is not required to be, a registered market maker in any security; thus, the program does not by itself impose a two-sided quotation obligation or convey any of the benefits associated with being a registered market maker. Accordingly, the program is designed to attract liquidity both from traditional market makers and from other firms that are willing to commit capital to support liquidity at the NBBO.

For purposes of the Enhanced Market Quality Program, a member organization will be deemed to quote at the NBBO in a security if it quotes a displayed order of at least 100 shares in the security and prices the order at either the national best bid or the national best offer or both the national best bid and offer for the security. The Exchange will determine the amount of the Fixed Payment that it pays to a qualifying member

organization by multiplying the average daily number of its qualifying securities during the month within the range set forth in the highest qualifying Tier (rounded to the nearest whole number) by the applicable amounts set forth in the following tables below and adding the specified lump sum, where applicable. For a particular Tape A security to count towards the threshold for qualifying for the Fixed Payment on a particular day, and receiving the Fixed Payment, a member organization has to quote such security at the NBBO for at least 30% of the time during Market Hours on that day. For a particular Tape B security to count towards the threshold for qualifying for the Fixed Payment on a particular day, and receiving the Fixed Payment, a member organization has to quote such security at the NBBO for at least 50% of the time during Market Hours on that day. A member organization that qualifies for the Fixed Payment for securities in each of Tapes A and B will receive Fixed Payments covering qualifying securities in both Tapes, but within each Tape, a member organization may only qualify for one Tier during a month.<sup>3</sup>

The Exchange proposes to set the tiers and the Fixed Payments as follows:

TAPE A SECURITIES

Tiers	Average daily number of securities quoted at the NBBO for at least 30% of the time during Market Hours during the month	Fixed payment
1	0–199	\$0 per qualified security per month.
2	200–299	\$25 per qualified security over 199.
3	300–399	\$2,500 + (\$200 per qualified security over 299).
4	400–499	\$22,500 + (\$300 per qualified security over 399).
5	500 or greater	\$52,500 + (\$400 per qualified security over 499).

TAPE B SECURITIES

Tiers	Average daily number of securities quoted at the NBBO for at least 50% of the time during Market Hours during the month	Fixed payment
1	0–299	\$0 per qualified security per month.
2	300–399	\$100 per qualified security over 299.
3	400–499	\$10,000 + (\$200 per qualified security over 399).
4	500 or greater	\$30,000 + (\$300 per qualified security over 499).

Through the use of this incentive program, the Exchange hopes to provide improved trading conditions for all market participants through narrower bid-ask spreads and increased depth of liquidity available at the inside market. In addition, the program reflects an

effort to use financial incentives to encourage a wider variety of member organizations to make positive commitments to promote market quality. The Exchange believes that different member organizations may respond to different incentives, and

therefore the Enhanced Market Quality Program is designed to promote market quality through quoting activity. The Exchange recognizes that while generally market participants will provide quotes with the intention of trading, market makers and liquidity

<sup>3</sup> Example 1: A member firm quotes an average of 250 symbols a day in tape A over the 30% time threshold in a particular month. The Fixed Payment due to such firm is calculated as follows: 51 (the number of symbols over 199) times \$25, which

equals to \$1,275 for the month. This example shows a tape A Tier 2 Fixed Payment. Example 2: A member firm quotes an average of 350 symbols a day in tape A over the 30% time threshold in a particular month. The Fixed Payment due to such

firm is calculated as follows: \$2,500 plus 51 (the number of symbols over 299) times \$200, which equals to \$12,700 for the month. This example shows a tape A Tier 3 Fixed Payment.

providers cannot control when counter parties choose to interact with those quotes and therefore the Exchange believes it is beneficial to the market to offer this incentive based on quoting activity directly.

The Exchange notes that it will make the Fixed Payment in addition to other rebates or fees provided under Equity 7, Sections 3 (a)–(c).

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among member organizations and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>6</sup>

Likewise, in *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*<sup>7</sup> (“NetCoalition”) the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ . . . As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers.’ . . .”<sup>8</sup>

The Exchange believes that the proposed Enhanced Market Quality

Program is reasonable because it is similar to other incentive programs offered by the Exchange for displayed orders that provide liquidity, like the Qualified Market Maker Program set forth in Equity 7, Sections 3(c). The proposed Fixed Payment will provide an opportunity to member organizations to receive an additional credit in return for certain levels of participation on the Exchange as measured by quoting at the NBBO. The proposed credit is set at a level that is reflective of the beneficial contributions of market participants that quote significantly at the NBBO for a wide range of symbols. The Exchange believes that it is appropriate to limit applicability of the proposed credit to displayed orders in securities in Tape A and Tape B, and set the credits higher for the Tape A securities, insofar as the Exchange seeks to incentivize member organizations to add liquidity to the Exchange in such securities and improve the market therefor.

The Exchange believes that the proposed Fixed Payments set forth by the Enhanced Market Quality Program are an equitable allocation and are not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will offer the same credit to all similarly situated member organizations. Moreover, the proposed qualification criteria requires a member organization to quote significantly at the NBBO therefore contributing to market quality in a meaningful way on the Exchange. Any member organization may quote at the NBBO at the level required by the qualification criteria of the Enhanced Market Quality Program. The Exchange notes that it has a similar Qualified Market Maker Program in which member organizations are required to quote at the NBBO more than a certain amount of time during regular market hours.<sup>9</sup> For these reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed Enhanced Market Quality Program Fixed Payments and qualification criteria are an equitable allocation and are not unfairly discriminatory.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable and is not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange proposes to offer the same Fixed Payments to all similarly situated member organizations. The Exchange also believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to establish the Enhanced Market Quality Program only for Tape A and Tape B securities, and set the credits higher for the Tape A securities, because the Exchange has limited resources and the Exchange

believes that the best current application of such limited resources is to improve the market quality for Tape A and Tape B securities, as proposed.

## B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the proposed changes to the Exchange’s credits provided to member organizations do not impose a burden on competition because the Exchange’s execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition both from other exchanges and from off-exchange venues. The proposed Fixed Payment provides member organizations with the opportunity to be given higher credits for quotations if they improve the market by providing significant quoting at the NBBO in a large number of securities which the Exchange believes will improve market quality.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the program is open to all member organizations on the same terms.

In sum, the proposed changes are designed to make the Exchange a more desirable venue on which to transact; however, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of member organizations or competing

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>6</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (“Regulation NMS Adopting Release”).

<sup>7</sup> *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR–NYSEArca–2006–21)).

<sup>9</sup> See Qualified Market Maker Program, Equity 7, Section 3(c).

order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>10</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2021-47 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2021-47. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2021-47 and should be submitted on or before September 21, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>11</sup>

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2021-18676 Filed 8-30-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Disaster Declaration #17114 and #17115; Tennessee Disaster Number TN-00130]**

**Presidential Declaration Amendment of a Major Disaster for the State of Tennessee**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Small Business Administration.

**ACTION:** Amendment 1.

**SUMMARY:** This is an amendment of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for the State of Tennessee (FEMA-4609-DR), dated 08/23/2021.

*Incident:* Severe Storm and Flooding.  
*Incident Period:* 08/21/2021.

**DATES:** Issued on 08/25/2021.

*Physical Loan Application Deadline Date:* 10/22/2021.

*Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date:* 05/23/2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance,

U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The notice of the President's major disaster declaration for the State of Tennessee, dated 08/23/2021, is hereby amended to include the following areas as adversely affected by the disaster:

*Primary Counties (Physical Damage and Economic Injury Loans):* Dickson, Hickman, Houston.

*Contiguous Counties (Economic Injury Loans Only):*

Tennessee: Cheatham, Lewis, Maury, Montgomery, Stewart, Williamson.

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

**James Rivera,**

*Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2021-18731 Filed 8-30-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8026-03-P**

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Disaster Declaration #17119 and #17120; California Disaster Number CA-00340]**

**Presidential Declaration of a Major Disaster for the State of California**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Small Business Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is a Notice of the Presidential declaration of a major disaster for the State of California (FEMA-4610-DR), dated 08/24/2021.  
*Incident:* Wildfires.

*Incident Period:* 07/14/2021 and continuing.

**DATES:** Issued on 08/24/2021.

*Physical Loan Application Deadline Date:* 10/25/2021.

*Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date:* 05/24/2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW, Suite 6050, Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205-6734.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that as a result of the President's major disaster declaration on 08/24/2021, applications for disaster loans may be filed at the address listed above or other locally announced locations. The following areas have been

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).