

thereby promoting market transparency. The Exchange may halt trading during the day in which an interruption to the dissemination of the IOPV occurs, or the value of the underlying Futures Contracts occurs. If the interruption to the dissemination of the IOPV or the value of the underlying Futures Contracts persists past the trading day in which it occurred, the Exchange will halt trading no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption. If the Exchange becomes aware that the NAV, the NAV per Share and the Disclosed Portfolio with respect to a series of Managed Trust Securities are not disseminated to all market participants at the same time, it will halt trading in such series until such time as the NAV, the NAV per Share and the Disclosed Portfolio are available to all market participants. Trading in Shares of the Trust will be halted if the circuit breaker parameters under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12 have been reached or because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable. Moreover, prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its ETP Holders in the Bulletin of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares.

The proposed rule change is designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest given that it will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional type of exchange-traded product that will principally hold futures contracts and that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace. As noted above, the Exchange has in place surveillance procedures relating to trading in the Shares and may obtain information via the ISG from other exchanges that are members of the ISG or with which the Exchange has entered into a CSSA. In addition, as noted above, investors will have ready access to information regarding the IOPV and quotation and last sale information for the Shares.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional type of actively-managed exchange-traded product that will principally hold futures contracts,

and that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

#### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change; or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2017-85 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2017-85. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the

Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2017-85 and should be submitted on or before September 6, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>23</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-17275 Filed 8-15-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-81374; File No. SR-Phlx-2017-63]

### **Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Chapter VI, Section A of Its Pricing Schedule Relating to the Exchange's Monthly Permit Fees for PSX Only Members**

August 10, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 31, 2017, NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>23</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter VI, Section A of its Pricing Schedule relating to the Exchange's monthly permit fees for PSX only members. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

##### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter VI, Section A of its Pricing Schedule to add a new exemption from the \$4,000 per month "PSX Only Permit Fee" that the Exchange assesses to "PSX only" members and member organizations. A "PSX only" member or member organization is one that only does business only [sic] on PSX and not on the PHLX options market.

Presently, the Exchange waives this Permit Fee if a PSX only member or member organization executes at least 1,000 shares per day, on average, in a given month. The Exchange proposes to also waive the Permit Fee during any month in which a PSX only member's or member organization's business on the Exchange is limited to "clearing-only." For the purpose of the proposal, the term "clearing-only" means that the PSX only member or member organization: (1) Does not execute any trades on PSX throughout a given month; (2) maintains no active connections to execute trades on PSX during that month (either through its own MPID or through a sponsored access relationship on behalf of another member or member organization); and (3) maintains PSX membership for the

sole purpose of clearing trades on behalf of another member or member organization that is actively trading on PSX.

The purpose of the proposal is to enhance its fee structure for members and member organizations that limit their business on the Exchange during a given month to only clearing trades on behalf of others. The Exchange has determined that assessing clearing-only members and member organizations a monthly PSX Only Permit Fee is unnecessary given that the PSX Only Permit Fee exists for two purposes that do not apply to those that engage in clearing-only. First, the PSX Only Permit Fee serves as the price that members and member organizations pay for the privilege of executing trades on PSX. However, unlike other PSX members and member organizations, clearing firms do not obtain their PSX membership to execute trades and they do not, in fact, execute trades on PSX. The PSX Only Permit Fee also exists to defray the costs that the Exchange incurs to examine and oversee those of its members and member organizations for which the Exchange acts as the Designated Examination Authority. Again, however, the Exchange does not serve as the Designated Examination Authority for clearing-only firms and it therefore does not incur these costs.

Moreover, the Exchange believes that the assessment of the monthly PSX Only Permit Fee to clearing-only members and member organizations serves as a disincentive for clearing firms to provide their valuable services to other Exchange members and member organizations. The Exchange wishes to encourage, rather than discourage, clearing firms to participate on the Exchange. Indeed, the Exchange hopes that waiving the PSX Only Permit Fee for clearing-only members and member organizations will not only attract new clearing firms to PSX, but it will also more generally attract additional trading participation and trading on PSX. This proposal is part of an effort to nurture the growth of PSX.

##### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair

discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>5</sup>

Likewise, in *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*<sup>6</sup> ("NetCoalition") the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission's use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-based approach.<sup>7</sup> As the court emphasized, the Commission "intended in Regulation NMS that 'market forces, rather than regulatory requirements' play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost."<sup>8</sup>

Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers' . . . ."<sup>9</sup> Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

The Exchange believes that waiving the monthly PSX Only Permit Fee for clearing-only members and member organization is reasonable because no justification exists for charging this Fee to members and member organizations that do not use their membership to execute trades on PSX and are not

<sup>5</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

<sup>6</sup> *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

<sup>7</sup> See *NetCoalition*, at 534–535.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 537.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR–NYSEArca–2006–21)).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

subject to examination by the Exchange. The Exchange also believes that its definition of “clearing-only” is reasonable because it excludes those firms that are PSX members for purposes other than simply to clear transactions, those that execute even small volumes of trades during a given month, and even those that maintain an active capacity to execute trades during a month, either through its own MPID or through a sponsored access relationship. Finally, the Exchange proposes reasonable steps to ensure that those clearing firms that request waivers of the PSX Only Permit Fee in fact qualify for the waiver. It will require such firms to attest in writing to their “clearing-only” status as a condition of the Exchange granting them the waiver. The attestation form will also obligate firms to promptly notify the Exchange of any change in their statuses.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is an equitable allocation and is not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply the same fee waiver to all similarly situated members and member organizations that utilize their membership on the Exchange only to engage in clearing activities. Moreover, the Exchange believes that its proposal does not discriminate against PSX only members and member organizations that execute trades on PSX because such members and member organizations can and typically do qualify for their own waivers of the monthly Permit Fee when, in a given month, they meet or exceed an average daily trading threshold of 1,000 shares. When PSX only members and member organizations do not meet or exceed this monthly trading threshold, the Exchange believes that it is justified in continuing to charge them the Permit Fee insofar as the transaction fees they generate for the Exchange are not sufficient to offset their shares of the Exchange’s regulatory oversight costs.<sup>10</sup>

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or

rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the proposed waiver of the monthly PSX Only Permit Fee will not impose any burden on competition. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that its proposal is pro-competitive because it may encourage additional clearing firms to provide clearing services on the Exchange, which in turn may attract additional trading participants and trading activity.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>11</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2017-63 on the subject line.

#### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2017-63. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2017-63, and should be submitted on or before September 6, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>12</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-17276 Filed 8-15-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

<sup>10</sup> See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-72784 (Aug. 7, 2014), 79 FR 47506 (Aug. 13, 2014) (discussing the Exchange’s rationale for its existing PSX Only Permit Fee waiver).

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).