participants. Similar to what it has noted in past Professional customer filings, the Commission believes that the line that CBOE now seeks to draw between “priority” customers and Professional customers reflects CBOE’s belief that the orders of a person who submits, on average, more than one order every minute of the trading day need not (or should not) be granted the same benefit or incentive that is granted to customers who do not trade on such a scale.63

The Commission believes that the grant of priority to certain participants over others in a manner that is reasonably viewed as within the discretion of the Exchange.64 Thus, the Commission believes that CBOE’s proposal, which establishes an objective methodology for counting average daily order submissions for Professional order counting purposes, is consistent with the Act.

V. Solicitation of Comments on Amendment No. 1 to the Proposed Rule Change

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–CBOE–2016–005 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–CBOE–2016–005. This file number should be included in the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–CBOE–2016–005 and should be submitted on or before April 21, 2016.

VI. Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

The Commission finds good cause to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the amended proposal in the Federal Register. The revisions made to the proposal in Amendment No. 163 changed how complex orders will be counted with respect to Professional order counting. Amendment No. 1 modified the proposal to provide that a complex order compromised of nine legs or more will count as multiple orders with each option leg counting as its own separate order instead of five legs or more as previously proposed by the Exchange.64 The Commission believes that this modification responds to one of the primary concerns raised by the commenter on the proposal that increasingly sophisticated customers would be adversely affected by the proposal, causing them to become Professional and lose their priority customer status. Amendment No. 1 effectively allows retail customers to use more advanced trading strategies (i.e., complex orders with up to eight legs) without having that activity counted as multiple orders for purposes of Professional order counting. Thus, the Commission believes that the changes in Amendment No. 1 respond to one of the concerns raised by the commenter by adopting a more permissive threshold for complex orders, and ultimately could decrease the number of persons or entities that will meet the definition of Professional under the new Interpretation and Guidance. Accordingly, the Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,65 to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

VII. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,66 that the proposed rule change (SR–CBOE–2016–005), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.67

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–07204 Filed 3–30–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHXL LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Exchange’s Continuing Education Fee Schedule

March 25, 2016.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 15, 2016, NASDAQ PHXL LLC (“Exchange” with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Continuing Education fee schedule as described further below. The proposed rule change is being filed for immediate effectiveness.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site

63 See ISE Approval Order, supra note 12, at 5701.
64 See id. at 5700.
66 See id.
at http://nasdaqomxphlx.chewallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to make changes to the Continuing Education fees set forth in subsection C, FINRA Fees, of section VII, Other Member Fees, to provide that the Continuing Education fee will be $55 if the Continuing Education session is conducted via Web delivery. The Continuing Education fee will remain $100 if the Continuing Education session is conducted at a testing center. The Exchange is also eliminating the $60 Continuing Education fee for the S501 Regulatory Element, which was discontinued by FINRA as of January 4, 2016. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Because the proposed rule change applies to all persons associated with members who are required to fulfill Continuing Education requirements, the proposal has no effect on competition.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its fee schedule is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among Exchange members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that the proposal to set the Continuing Education fee at $55 if the Continuing Education session is conducted via Web delivery is an equitable allocation of dues, fees and other charges because the fee change applies equally to all persons associated with members. In addition, the Exchange believes that the amended fee is an equitable allocation of dues, fees and other charges as it will apply uniformly to all persons associated with the members who choose to participate in the continuing education program through FINRA via Web delivery. As FINRA has stated in SR–FINRA–2015–015, the test center delivery method is expensive to operate and support, and web-based delivery is efficient and offers significant cost savings over test-center and in-firm deliveries. The proposed deletion of the $60 Continuing Education fee for the S501 Regulatory Element is reasonable because the S501 CE program has been discontinued by FINRA.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Because the proposed rule change applies to all persons associated with members who are required to fulfill Continuing Education requirements, the proposal has no effect on competition.

3 Currently, Section VII, subsection C, of the Exchange’s fee schedule provides that the Continuing Education fee will be assessed as to each individual who is required to complete the Regulatory Element of the Continuing Education requirements pursuant to Exchange Rule 640. This fee, which is paid directly to FINRA, is $60.00 for each individual who is required to complete the Proprietary Trader Regulatory Element (SR–S501) and $100.00 for each individual who is required to complete the S101 or S201 Regulatory Elements.


C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act. 

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–Phlx–2016–34 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2016–34. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2016–34 and should be submitted on or before April 21, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 

Brent J. Fields, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–07197 Filed 3–30–16; 8:45 am]
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Notice of Applications for Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

March 25, 2016.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for the month of March 2016. A copy of each application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090. An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC’s Secretary at the address below and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on April 19, 2016, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to Rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jessica Shin, Attorney-Adviser, at (202) 551–5921 or Chief Counsel’s Office at (202) 551–6821; SEC, Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–8010.

Curian Series Trust [File No. 811–22405]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On December 10, 2015, January 21, 2016, and February 2, 2016, applicant made liquidating distributions to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of $172,315 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant’s investment adviser.

Filing Date: The application was filed on February 26, 2016.

Applicant’s Address: 7601 Technology Way, Denver, Colorado 80237.

ALTMFX Trust [File No. 811–22899]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On December 3, 2015 and December 29, 2015, applicant made liquidating distributions to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of approximately $31,641 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant, applicant’s custodian, and applicant’s administrator.

Filing Date: The application was filed on February 29, 2016.

Applicant’s Address: Three Canal Plaza, Suite 600, Portland, Maine 04101.

Lazard Alternative Emerging Markets 1099 Fund [File No. 811–22590]

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On December 31, 2015, applicant transferred its remaining assets and known liabilities to a liquidating trust, based on net asset value. Each shareholder of applicant has received a pro rata interest in the liquidating trust. Expenses of approximately $125,000 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant’s investment adviser.
