only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2015–42 and should be submitted by December 21, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.17

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Active Specialized Quote Feed Port Fee

November 24, 2015.

I. Introduction

On September 23, 2015, NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to pay eligible Specialists and Market Makers a refund for a portion of the monthly variable Active Specialized Quote Feed (“SQF”) Port Fees (“Variable Active SQF Port Fees”) that were in effect under Section VII.B. of the Phlx Pricing Schedule during the month of April 2015. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on October 13, 2015.3 The Commission did not receive any comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

On April 2, 2015, the Exchange submitted a proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)4 of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)5 thereunder to, among other things, replace monthly Variable Active SQF Port Fees applicable to Specialists6 and Market Makers7 with a monthly fixed Active SQF Port Fee (“Fixed Active SQF Port Fee”).8 According to the Exchange, all Specialists and Market Makers on the Exchange were subject to the following Variable Active SQF Port Fees beginning in April 2015:9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of active SQF port</th>
<th>Monthly fee per port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and over</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Variable Active SQF Port Fees were capped at $42,000 per month.10 Under the Fixed Active SQF Port Fees, Specialists and Market Makers would pay $1,250 per port per month and this fee would also be capped at $42,000 per month.11 The Exchange notes that the April 2015 submission proposing to replace the monthly Variable Active SQF Port Fees with the monthly Fixed Active SQF Port Fee was rejected.12 As a result, the Exchange approved the proposal to replace the Monthly Variable Active SQF Port Fee with a monthly Fixed Active SQF Port Fee.13

According to the Exchange, because the April 2015 submission to replace the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fee with the Monthly Fixed SQF Port Fee was rejected, the Exchange is proposing to pay eligible Specialists and Market Makers a refund for a portion of the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fee.14

The Exchange states that it did not intend to impose the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fees in April 2015, but rather the Exchange intended to charge the less expensive Fixed Active SQF Port Fee for the April 2015 Billing Period.15 The Exchange notes that, because the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee did not become operative until May 1, 2015, and the fee reduction resulting from the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee did not occur as intended on April 1, 2015, Specialists and Market Makers were not able to take advantage of the cheaper Fixed Active SQF Port Fee for April 2015 and had to pay the more expensive Variable Active SQF Port Fees for the April 2015 Billing Period. The Exchange also states that Specialists and Market Makers paid more in April 2015 than was anticipated by the Exchange and the Specialists and Market Makers.16
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According to the Exchange, because the April 2015 submission to replace the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fee with the Monthly Fixed SQF Port Fee was rejected, the Exchange is proposing to pay eligible Specialists and Market Makers a refund for a portion of the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fee.14

The Exchange states that it did not intend to impose the Monthly Variable SQF Port Fees in April 2015, but rather the Exchange intended to charge the less expensive Fixed Active SQF Port Fee for the April 2015 Billing Period.15 The Exchange notes that, because the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee did not become operative until May 1, 2015, and the fee reduction resulting from the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee did not occur as intended on April 1, 2015, Specialists and Market Makers were not able to take advantage of the cheaper Fixed Active SQF Port Fee for April 2015 and had to pay the more expensive Variable Active SQF Port Fees for the April 2015 Billing Period. The Exchange also states that Specialists and Market Makers paid more in April 2015 than was anticipated by the Exchange and the Specialists and Market Makers.16

The fees that the Exchange proposes to refund to eligible Specialists and Market Makers represent the difference between the Variable Active SQF Port Fees and the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee that became operative on May 1, 2015, each subject to the $42,000 monthly cap.\textsuperscript{20} For example, according to the Exchange, if Specialist A was assessed and paid a Variable Active SQF Port Fee of $16,000 for the month of April 2015 (4 ports at $4,000 per port) whereas the Specialist would have paid only a $5,000 Fixed Active SQF Port Fee if this fee had been operative in April (4 ports at $1,250 per port), the Exchange would pay that member a refund amount of $11,000. In addition, if Market Maker B was assessed and paid a Variable Active SQF Port Fee of $42,000 for the month of April 2015 (8 ports at $15,000 per port for an uncapped total of $120,000, to which the cap was applied) whereas the Market Maker would have paid only a $10,000 Fixed Active SQF Port Fee if this fee had been operative in April (8 ports at $1,250 per port), the Exchange would pay that member a refund amount of $32,000.\textsuperscript{21} The Exchange notes that the payment of a refund to eligible Specialists and Market Makers is unique to April 2015 only and applies only to the April 2015 Billing Period.

III. Discussion and Commission’s Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.\textsuperscript{22} In particular, approval of the retroactive application of the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,\textsuperscript{23} which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other parties using its facilities.

The Commission notes that the refund the Exchange proposes to pay would have a retroactive effect on eligible Specialists and Market Makers because these members of the Exchange would effectively be subject to the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee during the month of April 2015, which was a month that the Variable Active SQF Port Fees were operative under the Exchange’s rules. The Commission further notes that the proposed change from the Variable Active SQF Port Fees to the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee was contained in an April 2015 submission by the Exchange for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)\textsuperscript{24} of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)\textsuperscript{25} thereunder; however that submission was rejected by the Commission because it was not filed in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.\textsuperscript{26} The proposed fee changes would otherwise qualify for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)\textsuperscript{27} of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)\textsuperscript{28} thereunder. However, because the proposed rule change seeks retroactive application of a fee change, the Exchange filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)\textsuperscript{29} of the Act,\textsuperscript{30} seeking approval retroactive to April 1, 2015. Retroactive approval of this proposal allows the proposed rule change to take effect for the month of April 2015.

IV. Conclusion

\textit{It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,\textsuperscript{30} that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–Phlx–2015–79) be, and hereby is, approved.}

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{31}

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
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November 23, 2015.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),\textsuperscript{1} and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{2} notice is hereby given that on November 10, 2015, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (the “Exchange” or the “ISE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change, as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 804(g) to require Clearing Member approval for market makers to resume trading after a market-wide speed bump is triggered. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site (http://www.ise.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Rule 804(g) on “Automated Quotation Adjustments” to...