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1. **Text of the Proposed Rule Change**

   (a) NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Exchange” or “Phlx”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\) and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposal to (1) amend proposed new Rule 1092 to assess a $500 Appeal Fee against a member or member organization which initiates and loses an appeal of an Options Exchange Official (“Official”) determination regarding an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error, and to pass through other market center charges associated with obvious error determinations; (2) amend Rule 124, to clarify that the $250 appeal fee provided for in Rule 124(d) will not apply to appeals of Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations, and (3) to modify the Phlx Pricing Schedule (“Pricing Schedule”) to reflect the new $500 Appeal Fee and pass-through charges from other market centers.

   A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The text of the proposed amendments and a copy of applicable portion of the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule, as proposed to be amended, is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

   (b) Not applicable.

   (c) Not applicable.

2. **Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization**

   The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange (the “Board”)

---


on July 1, 2015. Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Carla Behnfeldt, Associate General Counsel, The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc., at (215) 496-5208.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

On May 8, 2015 the Exchange filed a proposed rule change (the “1092 Replacement Filing”) to delete current Rule 1092, Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors, and replace it with new Rule 1092 entitled “Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions including Obvious Errors” (“New Rule 1092”). New Rule 1092 also became operative on May 8, 2015.3

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to adopt a $500 Appeal Fee that will apply in the event of unsuccessful appeals of Official determinations rendered pursuant to Section (l) of New Rule 1092 and to permit the Exchange to pass along charges assessed by another market center in connection with Obvious Error and Catastrophic Error determination requests presented to that market center by the Exchange on a member or member organization’s behalf. To accommodate this proposed fee change, the Exchange

---

3 See SR-Phlx-2015-43. New Rule 1092 harmonizes rules related to the adjustment and nullification of erroneous options transactions with those of other exchanges. The Exchange believes that New Rule 1092, together with comparable rules filed by the other options exchanges, will provide transparency and finality with respect to the adjustment and nullification of erroneous options transactions, achieving consistent results for participants across U.S. options exchanges while maintaining a fair and orderly market, protecting investors and protecting the public interest.
proposes to amend Rule 124, Disputes-Options, to add new language to Section (I) of New Rule 1092, and to make conforming changes to the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule, as described below.

(I) $500 Appeal Fee/Pass Through Charges. The Exchange proposes to amend Section (I) of the New Rule 1092, pursuant to which the Exchange will assess a $500 fee against members or member organizations who initiate a request for an appeal of an Official’s Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determination to the Exchange’s Market Operations Review Committee (“MORC”), where the appeal is unsuccessful and the MORC votes to uphold the Official’s determination. Further, the new rule permits the Exchange to pass any resulting charges through to the relevant member or member organization in instances where the Exchange, on behalf of the member or member organization, requests a determination by another market center that a transaction is an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error.

(II) Amendment to Rule 124. Currently, Rule 124(d) provides for assessment of a $250 fee to a member or member organization seeking review by the MORC of an Official ruling regarding Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors if the Official’s ruling is sustained and not overturned or modified by the MORC. The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 124(a) to clarify that no provision of Rule 124, including the Rule 124(d) $250 appeal fee, shall apply to Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors, both of which

---

4 Exchange Rule 124(a) currently provides that “[t]his Rule 124(a) shall not apply to options transactions that are the result of an Obvious Error (as defined in Rule 1092).” However, the Exchange currently applies Rule 124(d) to unsuccessful appeals of Official determinations of Obvious Errors to the MORC. The Exchange believes that fees associated with MORC appeals of Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors will be more logically set forth in the rulebook in Rule 1092(1) which describes the MORC appeals process for Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors.
instead are to be subject to the new $500 Appeal Fee provision and procedures of Rule 1092. The Exchange does not propose to move or make any further changes to any provision of Rule 124, which will continue to apply to disputes occurring on and relating to the trading floor (but not to Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors).

(III) Amendment to Pricing Schedule.

Currently, Chapter VII, Part D of the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule reflects the $5,000 Catastrophic Error Fee provided for in prior Exchange Rule 1092(f)(ii), which is being eliminated in favor of New Rule 1092 which does not contain such a fee. The Pricing Schedule is being revised to reflect the elimination of the $5000 Catastrophic Error Fee and the addition instead, pursuant to the proposed new language in Section (l) of New Rule 1092, of the $500 Appeal Fee and pass through charges described in (I) above.

5 Pursuant to Section (f) of prior Exchange Rule 1092 titled "Obvious Error and Catastrophic Errors," if an Exchange member believed that it had participated in a transaction that qualified as a Catastrophic Error, it could request a determination that a Catastrophic Error occurred. If an Options Exchange Official determined that a Catastrophic Error had occurred, the Options Exchange Official would adjust the execution price of the transaction according to Rule 1092. If it were determined that a Catastrophic Error had not occurred, the member requesting the determination would be assessed a charge of $5,000 pursuant to Exchange Rule 1092(f)(ii). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58002 (June 23, 2008), 73 FR 36581 (June 27, 2008).

6 The purpose of removing the $5,000 Catastrophic Error Fee, as part of replacing current Rule 1092 with New Rule 1092 in the 1092 Replacement Filing, was to remove a potential disincentive from requesting a review of what a market participant may believe to be a Catastrophic Error. Currently, the mere possibility – even if slight - that the Official could determine not to adjust or nullify the transaction in question and thus trigger the assessment of the $5,000 fee may unnecessarily deter members from requesting reviews which they believe to be justified. By eliminating the fee, the significant financial consequence of an adverse decision on a review will be lessened, and market participants should feel more comfortable with the fairness of the markets and the process adopted by the
b. **Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend Rule 124 and New Rule 1092 as well as the Pricing Schedule as proposed herein is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act\(^7\) in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) and (b)(5) of the Act\(^8\) in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which Phlx operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between market participants to whom the Exchange’s fees and rebates are applicable. The $500 Appeal Fee and the provision of pass through charges from other market centers are proposed herein are equitable, in that they apply equally to all member and member organizations lodging appeals to the MORC pursuant to New Rule 1092(l) or requesting Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations from other market centers through the Exchange. The new fee and pass through charges are reasonable, in that they allow the Exchange to recoup administrative costs associated with such MORC appeals and with seeking Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations of other market centers, while discouraging frivolous appeals or determination requests. The Exchange believes the new $500 Appeal Fee, which would reflect a $250 increase from the current appeal fee under Rule 124(d), is reasonable in that it will provide the Exchange additional resources with which to administer its regulatory functions, including the appeal of decisions made under New Rule 1092.

---

\(^7\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

4. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe the proposal will have any impact on competition. The $500 Appeal Fee and the provision of pass through charges from other market centers proposed herein will apply equally to all member and member organizations lodging appeals to the MORC pursuant to New Rule 1092(l) or requesting Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations from other market centers through the Exchange.

5. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action**

Not applicable.

7. **Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)**

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, Phlx has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If

---

the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to
determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

8. **Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission**

While the Phlx proposal is not based on the rule of another exchange, the
Exchange notes that BATS Exchange, Inc. also charges a similar fee in the event of an
unsuccessful appeal that an obvious error has occurred, as well as pass through charges
from other market centers.10

9. **Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act**

Not applicable.

10. **Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act**

Not applicable.

11. **Exhibits**

   1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the *Federal Register*.

   5. Text of the proposed rule change.

---

10 See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74556 (March 20, 2015), 80 FR 16031 (March 26, 2015), stating that “[i]f the Obvious Error Panel votes to uphold the decision made pursuant to the Proposed Rule, the Exchange will assess a $ 500.00 fee against the Options Member(s) who initiated the request for appeal…” and that “in instances where the Exchange, on behalf of an Options Member, requests a determination by another market center that a transaction is clearly erroneous, the Exchange will pass any resulting charges through to the relevant Options Member.”
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Proposed New Rule 1092; (2) Amend Rule 124; and (3) To Modify the Phlx Pricing Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) notice is hereby given that on July 14, 2015, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to (1) amend proposed new Rule 1092 to assess a $500 Appeal Fee against a member or member organization which initiates and loses an appeal of an Options Exchange Official (“Official”) determination regarding an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error, and to pass through other market center charges associated with obvious error determinations; (2) amend Rule 124, to clarify that that the $250 appeal fee provided for in Rule 124(d) will not apply to appeals of Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations, and (3) to modify the Phlx Pricing Schedule (“Pricing Schedule”) to reflect the new $500 Appeal Fee and pass-through charges from other market centers.


The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Website at http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On May 8, 2015 the Exchange filed a proposed rule change (the “1092 Replacement Filing”) to delete current Rule 1092, Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors, and replace it with new Rule 1092 entitled “Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions including Obvious Errors” (“New Rule 1092”). New Rule 1092 also became operative on May 8, 2015.³

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to adopt a $500 Appeal Fee that will apply in the event of unsuccessful appeals of Official determinations rendered pursuant to

³ See SR-Phlx-2015-43. New Rule 1092 harmonizes rules related to the adjustment and nullification of erroneous options transactions with those of other exchanges. The Exchange believes that New Rule 1092, together with comparable rules filed by the other options exchanges, will provide transparency and finality with respect to the adjustment and nullification of erroneous options transactions, achieving consistent results for participants across U.S. options exchanges while maintaining a fair and orderly market, protecting investors and protecting the public interest.
Section (l) of New Rule 1092 and to permit the Exchange to pass along charges assessed by another market center in connection with Obvious Error and Catastrophic Error determination requests presented to that market center by the Exchange on a member or member organization’s behalf. To accommodate this proposed fee change, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 124, Disputes-Options, to add new language to Section (l) of New Rule 1092, and to make conforming changes to the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule, as described below.

(I) $500 Appeal Fee/Pass Through Charges. The Exchange proposes to amend Section (l) of the New Rule 1092, pursuant to which the Exchange will assess a $500 fee against members or member organizations who initiate a request for an appeal of an Official’s Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determination to the Exchange’s Market Operations Review Committee (‘MORC’), where the appeal is unsuccessful and the MORC votes to uphold the Official’s determination. Further, the new rule permits the Exchange to pass any resulting charges through to the relevant member or member organization in instances where the Exchange, on behalf of the member or member organization, requests a determination by another market center that a transaction is an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error.

(II) Amendment to Rule 124. Currently, Rule 124(d) provides for assessment of a $250 fee to a member or member organization seeking review by the MORC of an Official ruling regarding Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors if the Official’s ruling is sustained and not overturned or modified by the MORC. The Exchange proposes to
amend Rule 124(a) to clarify that no provision of Rule 124, including the Rule 124(d) $250 appeal fee, shall apply to Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors, both of which instead are to be subject to the new $500 Appeal Fee provision and procedures of Rule 1092. The Exchange does not propose to move or make any further changes to any provision of Rule 124, which will continue to apply to disputes occurring on and relating to the trading floor (but not to Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors).

(III) Amendment to Pricing Schedule.

Currently, Chapter VII, Part D of the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule reflects the $5,000 Catastrophic Error Fee provided for in prior Exchange Rule 1092(f)(ii), which is being eliminated in favor of New Rule 1092 which does not contain such a fee. The Pricing Schedule is being revised to reflect the elimination of the $5000 Catastrophic Error Fee and the addition instead, pursuant to the proposed new language in Section (l) appeals of Official determinations of Obvious Errors to the MORC. The Exchange believes that fees associated with MORC appeals of Obvious Errors or Catastrophic Errors will be more logically set forth in the rulebook in Rule 1092(l) which describes the MORC appeals process for Obvious Errors and Catastrophic Errors.

 Pursuant to Section (f) of prior Exchange Rule 1092 titled "Obvious Error and Catastrophic Errors," if an Exchange member believed that it had participated in a transaction that qualified as a Catastrophic Error, it could request a determination that a Catastrophic Error occurred. If an Options Exchange Official determined that a Catastrophic Error had occurred, the Options Exchange Official would adjust the execution price of the transaction according to Rule 1092. If it were determined that a Catastrophic Error had not occurred, the member requesting the determination would be assessed a charge of $5,000 pursuant to Exchange Rule 1092(f)(ii). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58002 (June 23, 2008), 73 FR 36581 (June 27, 2008).
of New Rule 1092, of the $500 Appeal Fee and pass through charges described in (I) above.  

2. **Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend Rule 124 and New Rule 1092 as well as the Pricing Schedule as proposed herein is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act\(^7\) in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) and (b)(5) of the Act\(^8\) in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which Phlx operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between market participants to whom the Exchange’s fees and rebates are applicable. The $500 Appeal Fee and the provision of pass through charges from other market centers are proposed herein are equitable, in that they apply equally to all member and member organizations lodging appeals to the MORC pursuant to New Rule 1092(l) or requesting Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations from other market centers through the Exchange. The new fee and pass through charges are reasonable, in that they allow

---

6. The purpose of removing the $5,000 Catastrophic Error Fee, as part of replacing current Rule 1092 with New Rule 1092 in the 1092 Replacement Filing, was to remove a potential disincentive from requesting a review of what a market participant may believe to be a Catastrophic Error. Currently, the mere possibility – even if slight - that the Official could determine not to adjust or nullify the transaction in question and thus trigger the assessment of the $5,000 fee may unnecessarily deter members from requesting reviews which they believe to be justified. By eliminating the fee, the significant financial consequence of an adverse decision on a review will be lessened, and market participants should feel more comfortable with the fairness of the markets and the process adopted by the Exchange for requesting Officials to conduct reviews for determinations of Catastrophic Errors.


the Exchange to recoup administrative costs associated with such MORC appeals and with seeking Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations of other market centers, while discouraging frivolous appeals or determination requests. The Exchange believes the new $500 Appeal Fee, which would reflect a $250 increase from the current appeal fee under Rule 124(d), is reasonable in that it will provide the Exchange additional resources with which to administer its regulatory functions, including the appeal of decisions made under New Rule 1092.

B. **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe the proposal will have any impact on competition. The $500 Appeal Fee and the provision of pass through charges from other market centers proposed herein will apply equally to all member and member organizations lodging appeals to the MORC pursuant to New Rule 1092(l) or requesting Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error determinations from other market centers through the Exchange.

C. **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. **Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.\(^9\)

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form
  (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2015-64 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2015-64. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).
Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2015-64 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\(^\text{10}\)

Robert W. Errett  
Deputy Secretary

\(^{10}\) 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).
EXHIBIT 5

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

Rules of the Exchange

* * * * *

Rule 124. Disputes-Options
(a) Disputes occurring on and relating to the trading floor, if not settled by agreement between the members interested, shall be settled, if practicable, by vote of the members knowing of the transaction in question; if not so settled, they shall be settled by an Options Exchange Official.

In issuing decisions for the resolution of trading disputes, an Options Exchange Official shall institute the course of action deemed to be most fair to all parties under the circumstances at the time. An Options Exchange Official may direct the execution of an order on the floor, or adjust the transaction terms or participants to an executed order on the floor. An Options Exchange Official may nullify a transaction if the Options Exchange Official determines the transaction to have been in violation of Rules 1014 (Obligations and Restrictions Applicable to Specialists and Registered Options Traders), 1017 (Openings In Options), 1033 (Bids and Offers- Premium) or 1080 (Phlx XL and Phlx XL II). This Rule 124[(a)] shall not apply to options transactions that are the result of an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error (as defined in Rule 1092). Options transactions that are the result of an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error shall be subject to the provisions and procedures set forth in Rule 1092.

(b) – (d) No Change.

••• Commentary:

No Change.

* * * * *

Rule 1092. Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions including Obvious Errors

* * * * *

(a) – (k) No change.

(l) Appeals. If a party affected by a determination made under this Rule so requests within the time permitted, the Market Operations Review Committee will review decisions made under this Rule in accordance with Exchange Rule 124(d). A request for review under this paragraph must be made within 30 minutes after a party receives verbal notification of a final determination by an Official under this Rule, except that if such notification is made after 3:30 p.m. Eastern Time,
either party has until 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time on the next trading day to request a review. Such a request for review must be in writing or otherwise documented. The Market Operations Review Committee shall review the facts and render a decision on the day of the transaction, or the next trade day in the case where a request is properly made after 3:30 p.m. on the day of the transaction or where the request is properly made the next trade day. Any determination by an Official or the Market Operations Review Committee shall be rendered without prejudice as to the rights of the parties to the transaction to submit their dispute to arbitration. The party initiating the appeal shall be assessed a $500.00 fee if the Market Operations Review Committee upholds the decision of the Official. In addition, in instances where the Exchange, on behalf of a member or member organization, requests a determination by another market center that a transaction is clearly erroneous, the Exchange will pass any resulting charges through to the relevant member or member organization.

**Commentary:** No Change.

* * * * *

**NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC PRICING SCHEDULE**

ALL BILLING DISPUTES MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE EXCHANGE IN WRITING AND MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION. ALL DISPUTES MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF A BILLING INVOICE, EXCEPT FOR DISPUTES CONCERNING NASDAQ OMX PSX FEES, PROPRIETARY DATA FEED FEES AND CO-LOCATION SERVICES FEES. AS OF JANUARY 3, 2011, THE EXCHANGE WILL CALCULATE FEES ON A TRADE DATE BASIS.

1PHLX® is a registered trademark of The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc.

* * * * *

**VII. OTHER MEMBER FEES**

A. – C. No Change.

D. Appeal Fees

| Review/Process Subordinated Loans | $25 |
| Forum Fee Pursuant to Rule 60     | $100 |
| Review Fee Pursuant to Rule 124   | $250 |
| Obvious Error and                 | $[5,000]500 |
Catastrophic Error Fee
Pursuant to Rule 1092(l)

* * * * *