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The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3).

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.
Partial Amendment No. 1 to SR-PHLX-2014-46

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC is filing this Partial Amendment No. 1 to SR-PHLX-2014-46 to make the following technical corrections to the pending proposal.

1) In the last partial paragraph on page 4 of 20 of the 19b-4; and in the first full paragraph on page 13 of 20 of Exhibit 1: replace each phrase “options were” with “was”.

The sentences with the corrected language will read as follows:

“By the end of June 2014, for example, SPY was trading at more than $195 per share and DIA was trading at more than $168 per share.”

2) In the last full paragraph on page 8 of 20 of the 19b-4; and in the first partial paragraph on page 17 of 20 of Exhibit 1: replace each phrase “by other exchanges” with “by at least one other exchange”.

The sentences with the corrected language will read as follows:

“The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change, like other strike price programs currently offered by the Exchange, will benefit investors by giving them increased flexibility to more closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions. Moreover, the proposed rule change is consistent with changes proposed by at least one other exchange.”
3) In footnotes 10 and 11 of the 19b-4; and in footnote 10 of Exhibit 1: replace each phrase “79 FR 27006” with “79 FR 37825”.

4) Replace the last partial paragraph on page 6 of 20 of the 19b-4; and the last partial paragraph on page 14 of 20 of Exhibit 1 with the following paragraph:

“By allowing SPY and DIA options in $1 intervals over a 200 strike price, the proposal will moderately augment the total number of options series available on the Exchange. However, the Exchange has analyzed its capacity and represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority ("OPRA") have the necessary systems capacity to handle any potential additional traffic associated with this proposed rule change. The Exchange believes that its members will not have a capacity issue as a result of this proposal. The Exchange also represents that it does not believe this expansion will cause fragmentation of liquidity. The Exchange’s beliefs are supported by the limited nature of the proposal, which applies to two symbols rather than to all ETF products. Moreover, while under the current rule-set there is ample liquidity, it is constricted above 200. This proposal only enhances liquidity at more rational strike intervals necessary to benefit investors as the stock market improves in value.”

The proposal SR-PHLX-2014-46, as amended, remains consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and specifically with Section 6(b)(5). Partial Amendment No. 1 is not substantive in nature, but rather makes technical corrections in support of the proposal.