

## I. Background

On August 9, 2013 (78 FR 48727), the NRC staff issued the previously mentioned proposed revised SRP sections for public comment. The NRC staff received no comments on the proposed revisions. This guidance is being issued as final for use. There were no changes made to the guidance since it was issued for public comment. Details of specific changes between current SRP guidance and the final guidance being issued here are included at the end of each of the revised sections themselves, under the “Description of Changes” subsections.

## II. Backfitting and Issue Finality

These SRP section revisions provide guidance to the staff for reviewing applications for a construction permit and an operating license under part 50 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) with respect to designs of structures, components, equipment, and systems. The SRP also provides guidance for reviewing an application for a standard design approval, a standard design certification, a combined license, and a manufacturing license under 10 CFR part 52 with respect to those same subject matters.

Issuance of these SRP section revisions does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) nor is it inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC’s position is based upon the following considerations.

### 1. The SRP Positions Would Not Constitute Backfitting, Inasmuch as the SRP Is Internal Guidance to NRC Staff

The SRP provides internal guidance to the NRC staff on how to review an application for NRC regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

### 2. The NRC Staff Has No Intention To Impose the SRP Positions on Existing Licensees Either Now or in the Future

The NRC staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP to existing licenses and regulatory approvals. Hence, the issuance of this SRP—even if considered guidance within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52—does not need to be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the NRC

staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already-issued licenses in a manner that does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

### 3. Backfitting and Issue Finality Do Not—With Limited Exceptions Not Applicable Here—Protect Current or Future Applicant

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. Neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52—with certain exclusions—were intended to apply to every NRC action that substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants. The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The NRC staff does not, at this time, intend to impose the positions represented in the SRP in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP section in a manner that does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

## III. Congressional Review Act

This action is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of May 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Stephen Koenick,

*Acting Chief, Policy Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2014–10715 Filed 5–8–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting; Cancellation of Closed Session

**DATE AND TIME:** On April 22, 2014, the Board of Governors of the U.S. Postal Service filed a public announcement that it would meet in closed session on May 8, 2014, at 12:45 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time. That announcement further stated that the Board would meet in open session the following day, May 9, 2014, at 8:30 a.m., and would reconvene in closed session at 10:30 a.m. if needed to complete its closed session agenda. This announcement was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2014, 79 FR 22837. The purpose of the present announcement is to inform the public that the closed session of the Board’s meeting has been cancelled. The previously announced open session of the Board will begin as scheduled at 8:30 a.m. on May 9, 2014. Following the conclusion of the scheduled public agenda, in accordance with section 7.5(c)(2) of its Bylaws (39 CFR 7.5(c)(2)), the Board may vote to continue the meeting in a closed session to discuss appropriate matters.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Julie S. Moore, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L’Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

**Julie S. Moore,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014–10865 Filed 5–7–14; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–72095; File No. SR–Phlx–2014–29]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Mini Options Pricing

May 5, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 30, 2014, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend its Pricing Schedule to replace the reference to "GOOG7" to "GOLG7" with respect to pricing for Mini Options.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/micro.aspx?id=PHLXRulefilings>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### **II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### *A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

##### **1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend Section A of the Pricing Schedule, regarding Mini Options pricing, to replace the reference to "GOOG7" to "GOLG7." Today, the Exchange has pricing for the following Mini Options symbols: AAPL7, AMZN7, GLD7, GOOG7, SPY7. The Exchange is proposing to make this change because, on April 3, 2014, Google issued a new class of shares (class C) to its shareholders in lieu of a cash dividend payment. Additionally, these new class C shares were given the ticker, "GOOG"; while the class A shares changed their ticker from "GOOG" to "GOOGL". The Exchange is proposing to change the Google ticker referenced in Section A of the Pricing Schedule from "GOOG7" to "GOLG7." The suffix "7" identifies the Mini Options product.

Mini Options trade on a list of underlying securities outlined in Supplementary Material .13 to Rule 1012. This change is meant to continue the inclusion of class A shares of Google

in the current list of underlying securities that will receive the pricing for Mini Options specified in Section A of the Pricing Schedule. As a result, the proposed change will also help avoid confusion.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend a typographical error in Section A to remove repetitive rule text which was inadvertently included in the Pricing Schedule.

##### **2. Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>4</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>5</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange's pricing will not substantively change as a result of this rule change; rather the pricing for Mini Options applicable to GOLG7 will continue to remain in effect with the correction to the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange proposes to accurately reflect the symbol for GOLG7 to avoid investor confusion with respect to pricing. The Exchange believes that correcting the Pricing Schedule will ensure that members are aware of the symbol change. In addition, the Exchange is correcting a typographical error in the Pricing Schedule to ensure the accuracy of the Pricing Schedule.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

Phlx does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance

of the purposes of the Act. The proposed change does not impose any burden on intramarket competition because it applies to all members and member organizations. There is no burden on intermarket competition as the proposed change is merely attempting to update the new ticker for Google class A for Mini Options. As a result, there will be no substantive changes to the Exchange's Pricing Schedule.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the proposed rule change does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>6</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.<sup>7</sup>

The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because accurately reflecting the underlying symbol change in the pricing schedule will avoid investor confusion with respect to pricing. For this reason, the Commission waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing.<sup>8</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>7</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii). As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

<sup>8</sup> For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2014-29 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2014-29. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-

2014-29, and should be submitted on or before May 30, 2014.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-10652 Filed 5-8-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72094; File No. SR-Phlx-2014-28]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to JBO Orders

May 5, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 1, 2014, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Pricing Schedule to assess joint back office ("JBO")<sup>3</sup> participants pricing the same as Broker-Dealers<sup>4</sup> and require JBO participants to utilize a new origin code to identify JBO orders.

The Exchange proposes that the amendments become operative on July 1, 2014.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/micro.aspx?id=PHLXRulefilings>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> A JBO participant is a member, member organization or non-member organization that maintains a JBO arrangement with a clearing broker-dealer ("JBO Broker") subject to the requirements of Regulation T Section 220.7 of the Federal Reserve System. See also Exchange Rule 703.

<sup>4</sup> The term "Broker-Dealer" applies to any transaction which is not subject to any of the other transaction fees applicable within a particular category.

the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to introduce a new origin code to its Pricing Schedule which will be used to indicate orders for a JBO account to be cleared into the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") for purposes of pricing only. Further, the Exchange proposes to assess fees and pay rebates to JBO Orders the same as Broker-Dealers.

Currently, JBO orders clear in the Firm<sup>5</sup> range at OCC as do Firm orders. The Exchange is proposing to introduce an origin code for members and member organizations to identify orders for a JBO account. Today, the Exchange requires members and member organizations to notify the Exchange in writing and indicate which accounts are used to segregate orders of JBO participants from other Firm orders.<sup>6</sup>

The origin code will simplify the process of identifying JBO orders for purposes of pricing only. Members and member organizations would be required to mark their JBO orders in accordance with the technical specifications definitions which are provided by the Exchange. This rule change will not impact the manner in which JBO orders are treated for purposes of other Exchange Rules including but not limited to priority in the Exchange's trading system. With this proposal, JBO orders will continue to be cleared in the Firm range at OCC. Today, JBO orders are assessed

<sup>5</sup> The term "Firm" applies to any transaction that is identified by a member or member organization for clearing in the Firm range at OCC.

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62661 (August 13, 2010), 75 FR 49544 (August 6, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-110).