

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Filing by NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC.
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>			Rule		
Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Section 806(e)(1) <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 3C(b)(2) <input type="checkbox"/>
Section 806(e)(2) <input type="checkbox"/>	

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Proposal to extend a pilot program related to Rule 3312, entitled Clearly Erroneous Transactions, and to remove certain references to individual stock trading pauses contained in Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(4).

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Sean	Last Name * Bennett
Title * Associate General Counsel	
E-mail * sean.bennett@nasdaqomx.com	
Telephone * (301) 978-8499	Fax (301) 978-8472

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date 09/26/2013	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
By Edward S. Knight	
(Name *)	

Edward S Knight,

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposal to extend the pilot period of amendments to Rule 3312, governing clearly erroneous executions, so that the pilot will now expire on April 8, 2014. The Exchange also proposes to remove certain references to individual stock trading pauses contained in Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv).

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and the text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on July 17, 2013. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of the Exchange of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Phlx is requesting waiver of the 30 day pre-operative period under the Act.³ If such a waiver is granted, the Exchange will implement the change immediately.

Questions regarding this rule proposal may be directed to T. Sean Bennett, Associate General Counsel, The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc. at (301) 978-8499 (telephone) or (301) 978-8472 (fax).

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

On September 10, 2010, the Commission approved, for a pilot period to end December 10, 2010, a proposed rule change submitted by The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, BATS Exchange, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., International Securities Exchange LLC, New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC (formerly, NYSE Amex LLC), NYSE Arca, Inc., and National Stock Exchange, Inc., to amend certain of their respective rules to set forth clearer standards and curtail discretion with respect to breaking erroneous trades.⁴ The changes were adopted to address concerns that the lack of clear guidelines for dealing with clearly erroneous transactions may have added to the confusion and uncertainty faced by investors on May 6, 2010. In connection with its resumption of trading of NMS Stocks through PSX, the Exchange amended Rule 3312 to conform it to the newly-adopted changes to the other exchanges' clearly erroneous rules, so that it could participate in the

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62886 (September 10, 2010), 75 FR 56613 (September 16, 2010).

pilot program.⁵ The pilot program was extended several times since its adoption and is currently set to expire on September 30, 2013.⁶ In its rule change that extended the pilot program to September 30, 2013,⁷ the Exchange also adopted a provision designed to address the operation of the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility⁸ (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan”). The Exchange believes the benefits to market participants from the more objective clearly erroneous executions rule should continue on a pilot basis through April 8, 2014, which is one year following commencement of operations of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan. The Exchange believes that continuing the pilot during this time will protect against any unanticipated consequences. Thus, the Exchange believes that the protections of the Clearly Erroneous Rule should continue while the industry gains further experience operating the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

The Exchange also proposes to eliminate all references in Rule 3312 to individual stock trading pauses issued by a primary listing market. Specifically, Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) provides specific rules to follow with respect to review of an execution as potentially clearly erroneous when there was an individual stock trading pause issued for that security and the security is included in the S&P 500 Index, the Russell 1000 Index, or a pilot list of Exchange Traded Products (“Subject Securities”). The stock

⁵ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63023 (September 30, 2010), 75 FR 61802 (October 6, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-125).

⁶ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68820 (February 1, 2013), 78 FR 9436 (February 8, 2013) (SR-Phlx-2013-12).

⁷ Id.

⁸ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012); see also Rule 3312(g).

trading pauses described in Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) are being phased out as securities become subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan pursuant to a phased implementation schedule. The Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is already operational with respect to all Subject Securities, and thus, the Exchange believes that all references to individual stock trading pauses should be removed, including all cross-references to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) contained in other portions of Rule 3312.⁹

b. Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹⁰ which requires the rules of an exchange to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the pilot program promotes just and equitable principals of trade in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning review of transactions as clearly erroneous. More specifically, the Exchange believes that the extension of the pilot would help assure that the determination of whether a clearly erroneous trade has occurred will be based on clear and objective criteria, and that the resolution of the incident will occur promptly through

⁹ The Exchange notes that certain Exchange Traded Products (“ETPs”) are not yet subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan. Because such ETPs are not on the pilot list of securities, such ETPs are not subject to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv). Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65106 (August 11, 2011), 76 FR 51079 (August 17, 2011) (SR-Phlx-2011-114) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness to amend the clearly erroneous rule to specify that Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) applies only to the current securities of the Individual Stock Trading Pause pilot). Accordingly, the proposed rule change does not change the status quo with respect to such ETPs. As amended, all securities, including ETPs not subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan, will continue to be subject to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(i)-(iii).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

a transparent process. The proposed rule change would also help assure consistent results in handling erroneous trades across the U.S. markets, thus furthering fair and orderly markets, the protection of investors and the public interest. Although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will become fully operational during the same time period as the proposed extended pilot, the Exchange believes that maintaining the pilot will help to protect against unanticipated consequences. To that end, the extension will allow the Exchange to determine whether Rule 3312 is necessary once the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is fully operational and, if so, whether improvements can be made. Finally, the elimination of references to individual stock trading pauses will help to avoid confusion amongst market participants, which is consistent with the Act. As described above, individual stock trading pauses have been replaced by the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan with respect to all Subject Securities.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and other national securities exchanges are also filing similar proposals, and thus, that the proposal will help to ensure consistency across market centers.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Not applicable.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

The Exchange does not consent to an extension of time.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹² thereunder in that it effects a change that: (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.¹³

Because all transactions on the Exchange are currently subject to Rule 3312, the proposal to extend the pilot merely acts to maintain the status quo. Further, the proposal would help to avoid confusion amongst market participants by deleting references to individual stock circuit breakers that are obsolete. Based on the foregoing, the Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁴ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁵

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposed rule change may become effective and operative upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) of the Act.¹⁶ The Exchange requests this waiver because it will allow the Exchange to extend the pilot program prior to its expiration on September 30, 2013 and to make a clarifying change immediately. Waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest for the reasons described above.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Form of Notice of Proposed Rule Change for Federal Register.

5. Text of Proposed Rule Change.

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

EXHIBIT 1SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-Phlx-2013-97)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to the Clearly Erroneous Rule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 26, 2013, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend the pilot period of recent amendments to Rule 3312, concerning clearly erroneous transactions, so that the pilot will now expire on April 8, 2014. The Exchange also proposes to remove certain references to individual stock trading pauses contained in Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv).

The text of the proposed rule change is available from Phlx’s website at <http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com>, at Phlx’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On September 10, 2010, the Commission approved, for a pilot period to end December 10, 2010, a proposed rule change submitted by The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, BATS Exchange, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., International Securities Exchange LLC, New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC (formerly, NYSE Amex LLC), NYSE Arca, Inc., and National Stock Exchange, Inc., to amend certain of their respective rules to set forth clearer standards and curtail discretion with respect to breaking erroneous trades.³ The changes were adopted to address concerns that the lack of clear guidelines for dealing with clearly erroneous transactions may have added to the confusion and uncertainty faced by investors on May 6, 2010. In connection with its resumption of trading of NMS Stocks through PSX, the Exchange amended Rule 3312 to conform it to the newly-adopted changes to the other exchanges' clearly erroneous rules, so that it could participate in the

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62886 (September 10, 2010), 75 FR 56613 (September 16, 2010).

pilot program.⁴ The pilot program was extended several times since its adoption and is currently set to expire on September 30, 2013.⁵ In its rule change that extended the pilot program to September 30, 2013,⁶ the Exchange also adopted a provision designed to address the operation of the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility⁷ (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan”). The Exchange believes the benefits to market participants from the more objective clearly erroneous executions rule should continue on a pilot basis through April 8, 2014, which is one year following commencement of operations of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan. The Exchange believes that continuing the pilot during this time will protect against any unanticipated consequences. Thus, the Exchange believes that the protections of the Clearly Erroneous Rule should continue while the industry gains further experience operating the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

The Exchange also proposes to eliminate all references in Rule 3312 to individual stock trading pauses issued by a primary listing market. Specifically, Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) provides specific rules to follow with respect to review of an execution as potentially clearly erroneous when there was an individual stock trading pause issued for that security and the security is included in the S&P 500 Index, the Russell 1000 Index, or a pilot list of Exchange Traded Products (“Subject Securities”). The stock

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63023 (September 30, 2010), 75 FR 61802 (October 6, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-125).

⁵ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68820 (February 1, 2013), 78 FR 9436 (February 8, 2013) (SR-Phlx-2013-12).

⁶ Id.

⁷ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012); see also Rule 3312(g).

trading pauses described in Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) are being phased out as securities become subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan pursuant to a phased implementation schedule. The Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is already operational with respect to all Subject Securities, and thus, the Exchange believes that all references to individual stock trading pauses should be removed, including all cross-references to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) contained in other portions of Rule 3312.⁸

2. Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),⁹ which requires the rules of an exchange to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the pilot program promotes just and equitable principals of trade in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning review of transactions as clearly erroneous. More specifically, the Exchange believes that the extension of the pilot would help assure that the determination of whether a clearly erroneous trade has occurred will be based on clear and objective criteria, and that the resolution of the incident will occur promptly through

⁸ The Exchange notes that certain Exchange Traded Products (“ETPs”) are not yet subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan. Because such ETPs are not on the pilot list of securities, such ETPs are not subject to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv). Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65106 (August 11, 2011), 76 FR 51079 (August 17, 2011) (SR-Phlx-2011-114) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness to amend the clearly erroneous rule to specify that Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(iv) applies only to the current securities of the Individual Stock Trading Pause pilot). Accordingly, the proposed rule change does not change the status quo with respect to such ETPs. As amended, all securities, including ETPs not subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan, will continue to be subject to Rule 3312(a)(2)(C)(i)-(iii).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

a transparent process. The proposed rule change would also help assure consistent results in handling erroneous trades across the U.S. markets, thus furthering fair and orderly markets, the protection of investors and the public interest. Although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will become fully operational during the same time period as the proposed extended pilot, the Exchange believes that maintaining the pilot will help to protect against unanticipated consequences. To that end, the extension will allow the Exchange to determine whether Rule 3312 is necessary once the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is fully operational and, if so, whether improvements can be made. Finally, the elimination of references to individual stock trading pauses will help to avoid confusion amongst market participants, which is consistent with the Act. As described above, individual stock trading pauses have been replaced by the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan with respect to all Subject Securities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and other national securities exchanges are also filing similar proposals, and thus, that the proposal will help to ensure consistency across market centers.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁰ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange has provided the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form

(<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(a).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2013-97 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2013-97. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used.

To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2013-97, and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Kevin M. O'Neill
Deputy Secretary

¹² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is underlined; proposed deletions are bracketed.

* * * * *

Rule 3312. Clearly Erroneous Transactions

The provisions of paragraphs (a)(2)(C), (b), and (c)(1) of this Rule, as amended on September 30, 2010, and the provisions of paragraph (g), shall be in effect during a pilot period set to end on April 8, 2014[September 30, 2013]. If the pilot is not either extended or approved permanent by April 8, 2014[September 30, 2013], the prior versions of paragraphs (a)(2)(C), (b), and (c)(1) shall be in effect, and the provisions of paragraph (g) shall be null and void.

(a) Authority to Review Transactions Pursuant to Complaint of Market Participant

(1) No change.

(2) Requests and Timing of Review. A member organization that receives an execution on an order that was submitted erroneously to PSX for its own or customer account may request that the Exchange review the transaction under this Rule. An official of the Exchange shall review the transaction under dispute and determine whether it is clearly erroneous, with a view toward maintaining a fair and orderly market and the protection of investors and the public interest. Such requests for review shall be made in writing via electronic complaint or other means specified from time to time by the Exchange as announced in an Equity Trader Alert. A request for review shall include information concerning the time of the transaction(s), security symbol(s), number of shares, price(s), side (bought or sold), and factual basis for believing that the trade is clearly erroneous. Upon receipt of a timely filed request that satisfies the Numerical Guidelines set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(C) the counterparty to the trade shall be notified by the Exchange as soon as practicable, but generally within 30 minutes. An Exchange official may request additional supporting written information to aid in the resolution of the matter. If requested, each party to the transaction shall provide, within 30 minutes of the request, any supporting written information. Either party to the disputed trade may request the supporting written information provided by the other party on the matter.

(A) - (B) No change.

(C) Determinations of a clearly erroneous execution will be made as follows:

(i) *Numerical Guidelines*. Subject to the provisions of paragraph (a)(2)(C)(iii) below, a transaction executed shall be found to be clearly erroneous only if the price of the transaction to buy (sell) that is the subject of the complaint is greater than (less than) the Reference Price by an amount that equals or exceeds the Numerical Guidelines set forth below. The execution time of the transaction under review determines whether the threshold is Regular Trading Hours or Pre-Opening and After Hours Trading

Session (which occurs before and after Regular Trading Hours). The Reference Price will be equal to the consolidated last sale immediately prior to the execution(s) under review except for: (A) Multi-Stock Events involving twenty or more securities, as described in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(ii) below; [(B) transactions not involving a Multi-Stock Event as described in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(ii) that trigger a trading pause and subsequent transactions, as described in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(iv) below, in which case the Reference Price shall be determined in accordance with that paragraph (a)(2)(C)(iv);]and (B)[(C)] in other circumstances, such as, for example, relevant news impacting a security or securities, periods of extreme market volatility, sustained illiquidity, or widespread system issues, where use of a different Reference Price is necessary for the maintenance of a fair and orderly market and the protection of investors and the public interest.

Reference Price, Circumstance or Product	Regular Trading Hours Numerical Guidelines (Subject transaction's % difference from the Reference Price):	Pre-Opening and After Hours Trading Session Numerical Guidelines (Subject transaction's % difference from the Reference Price):
Greater than \$0.00 up to and including \$25.00	10%	20%
Greater than \$25.00 up to and including \$50.00	5%	10%
Greater than \$50.00	3%	6%
Multi-Stock Event - Filings involving five or more, but less than twenty, securities whose executions occurred within a period of five minutes or less	10%	10%
Multi-Stock Event - Filings involving twenty or more securities whose executions occurred within a period of five minutes or less	30%, subject to the terms of paragraph (a)(2)(C)(ii) below	30%, subject to the terms of paragraph (a)(2)(C)(ii) below
Leveraged ETF/ETN	Regular Trading Hours	Regular Trading Hours

securities

Numerical Guidelines
multiplied by the leverage
multiplier (i.e. 2x)Numerical Guidelines
multiplied by the leverage
multiplier (i.e. 2x)

(ii) *Multi-Stock Events Involving Twenty or More Securities.* During Multi-Stock Events involving twenty or more securities the number of affected transactions is such that immediate finality may be necessary to maintain a fair and orderly market and to protect investors and the public interest. In such circumstances, the Exchange may use a Reference Price other than consolidated last sale. [With the exception of those securities under review that are subject to an individual stock trading pause as described in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(iv) below, and to]To ensure consistent application across market centers when this paragraph is invoked, the Exchange will promptly coordinate with the other market centers to determine the appropriate review period, which may be greater than the period of five minutes or less that triggered application of this paragraph, as well as select one or more specific points in time prior to the transactions in question and use transaction prices at or immediately prior to the one or more specific points in time selected as the Reference Price. The Exchange will nullify as clearly erroneous all transactions that are at prices equal to or greater than 30% away from the Reference Price in each affected security during the review period selected by the Exchange and other markets consistent with this paragraph.

(iii) *Additional Factors.* Except in the context of a Multi-Stock Event involving five or more securities, [and individual stock trading pauses as described in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(iv) below,]an Exchange official may also consider additional factors to determine whether an execution is clearly erroneous, including but not limited to, system malfunctions or disruptions, volume and volatility for the security, derivative securities products that correspond to greater than 100% in the direction of a tracking index, news released for the security, whether trading in the security was recently halted/resumed, whether the security is an IPO, whether the security was subject to a stock-split, reorganization, or other corporate action, overall market conditions, Pre-Opening and After Hours Trading Session executions, validity of the consolidated tapes trades and quotes, consideration of primary market indications, and executions inconsistent with the trading pattern in the stock. Each additional factor shall be considered with a view toward maintaining a fair and orderly market and the protection of investors and the public interest.

[(iv) *Individual Stock Trading Pauses.* For purposes of this paragraph, the phrase “Trading Pause Trigger Price” shall mean the price that triggered a trading pause pursuant to Exchange Rule 3100(a)(4). The Trading Pause Trigger Price reflects a price calculated by the primary listing market over a rolling five-minute period and may differ from the execution price of a transaction that triggered a trading pause. In the event a primary listing market issues an individual stock trading pause in any eligible securities, and regardless of whether the security at issue is part of a Multi-Stock Event involving five or more securities as described in paragraphs (a)(2)(C)(i) and (a)(2)(C)(ii) above, the Exchange shall utilize the Trading Pause Trigger Price as the Reference Price for any transactions that trigger a trading pause and subsequent

transactions occurring before the trading pause is in effect on the Exchange. The Exchange will rely on the primary listing market that issued an individual stock trading pause to determine and communicate the Trading Pause Trigger Price for such stock. Notwithstanding the discretion otherwise provided by the first sentence of paragraph (b)(2) below, the Exchange shall review, on its own motion pursuant to paragraph (b)(2), transactions that trigger a trading pause and subsequent transactions occurring before the trading pause is in effect on the Exchange. In connection with the review of transactions pursuant to this paragraph, the Exchange will apply the Numerical Guidelines set forth in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(i) above other than the Numerical Guidelines applicable to Multi-Stock Events. In conducting this review, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in paragraph (a)(2)(C)(i), where a trading pause was triggered by a price decline (rise), the Exchange will limit its review to transactions that executed at a price lower (higher) than the Trading Pause Trigger Price.]

(b) Procedures for Reviewing Transactions on the Exchange's Own Motion

(1) No change.

(2) *Senior Official Acting on Own Motion.* A Senior Official, acting on his or her own motion, may review potentially erroneous executions and declare trades null and void or shall decline to take any action in connection with the completed trade(s). In such events, the Senior Official will rely on the provisions of paragraph (a)(2)(C)(i)-~~iii~~[(iv)] of this Rule. Absent extraordinary circumstances, any such action of the Senior Official shall be taken in a timely fashion, generally within thirty (30) minutes of the detection of the erroneous transaction. When extraordinary circumstances exist, any such action of the Senior Official must be taken by no later than the start of Regular Trading Hours on the trading day following the date of execution(s) under review. When such action is taken independently, each party involved in the transaction shall be notified as soon as practicable by the Exchange, and the party aggrieved by the action may appeal such action in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (c)(1) below.

(c) - (g) No change.