III. Discussion of Comment Letters

The Commission received three comment letters on the proposed rule change in response to the Notice. All three comment letters supported the proposed rule change. The St. John’s Letter supported the proposed rule change noting that St. John’s believes that encouraging the use of orders instead of subpoenas would minimize the involvement of courts in the arbitration process and, consequently, maximize efficiency of the arbitration process. In addition, St. John’s believes that by codifying existing processes for non-parties to file objections to a subpoena and clarifying the process for determining responsibility for fees related to the appearance of witnesses by and production of documents from non-parties, the proposal would create greater certainty for arbitration participants.

The Pace Letter supported the proposed rule change, also noting that encouraging the issuance of orders instead of subpoenas would minimize the involvement of litigation in arbitration and consequently reduce associated costs and delays. The Pace Letter also noted that the proposal would create a unified enforceable process that enhances efficiency for resolving disputes.

The PIABA Letter also supported the proposed rule change because it would encourage the use of orders rather than subpoenas for compelling the appearance of witnesses by and production of documents from non-parties. In addition, PIABA favors codifying previously undocumented processes and making consistent arbitration procedures governing the use of orders and subpoenas.

IV. Discussion and Commission’s Findings

The Commission has carefully reviewed the proposed rule change and the comments received. Based on its review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association. In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

More specifically, the Commission believes that the proposed amendments would encourage the use of orders instead of subpoenas in arbitration, codify certain existing processes, and standardize other procedures relating to subpoenas and arbitrator orders. In particular, the Commission believes that the use of orders in the first instance instead of subpoenas, with respect to compelling the appearance of witnesses and production of documents, could lower discovery costs. The Commission also believes that by codifying existing processes and eliminating the disparity between the Subpoena Rules and the Order Rules, the proposed rule will eliminate potential confusion over the applicability of certain provisions of the Codes and, consequently, enhance the efficiency of the arbitration process for its users.

The Commission has reviewed the record for the proposed rule change and believes that the record does not contain any information to indicate that the proposed rule would have a significant effect on efficiency, competition, or capital formation. In light of the record, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation and has concluded that the proposed rule is unlikely to have any significant effect.

For the reasons stated above, the Commission finds that the rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR–FINRA–2012–041) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Kevin O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.
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December 11, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 3, 2012, NASDAQ OMX PHXL LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the fee caps applicable to certain strategies on Multiply Listed Options in Section II, entitled “Equity Options Fees.” 2 The Exchange also proposes to apply the fee caps to transactions on certain reversal and conversion strategies. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/micro.aspx?id=PHXLfilings, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth below, for the information of investors.

Section II Equity Options fees include options overlying equities, ETFs, ETNs and indexes which are Multiply Listed.
Reversals are established by combining a short stock position with a short put and a long call position that shares the same strike and expiration.
Conversions are established by combining a long position in the underlying stock with a long put and a short call position that share the same strike and expiration.


20 See supra notes 4, 5 and 6.
21 In a telephone conversation on October 22, 2012, among Margo Hassan, Ken Adrichik and Linda Feinberg of FINRA, Ryan Bakhshi of PIABA, and Leila Bhim of the Commission, PIABA confirmed that the entirety of the last paragraph of the PIABA Letter should be disregarded and considered deleted. This last paragraph had expressed concern over FINRA rules regarding allocation of costs in connection with the use of subpoenas and orders in FINRA arbitration. As a result, the PIABA Letter is considered in its entirety to be supportive of the proposed rule change.
forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the fee caps relating to dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies in Section II of the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange also proposes to apply the fee caps to reversal and conversion strategies in Section II of the Pricing Schedule and modify the application of reversal and conversion strategies in other parts of the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange believes the amendments would continue to incentivize market participants to trade on the Exchange by capping floor option transaction charges related to various strategies.

Today, Specialist,10 Market Maker,11 Professional,12 Firm and Broker-Dealer floor option transaction charges are capped at $1,000 for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies executed on the same trading day in the same options class when such members are trading in their own proprietary accounts. In addition, floor option transaction charges for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies combined are further capped at the greater of $10,000 per member or $25,000 per member organization when such members are trading in their own proprietary account.

6 A dividend strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a dividend arbitrage involving the purchase and exercise of in-the-money options of the same class, executed the first business day prior to the date on which the underlying stock goes ex-dividend.

7 A merger strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a merger arbitrage involving the purchase, sale and exercise of options of the same class and expiration date, executed the first business day prior to the date on which shareholders of record are required to elect their respective form of consideration, i.e., cash or stock.

8 A short stock interest strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a short stock interest arbitrage involving the purchase, sale and exercise of in-the-money options of the same class.

9 While the fee caps are noted in Section II of the Pricing Schedule, the caps apply to all Multiply Listed Options in Sections I and II.

10 A “Specialist” is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to Rule 1020(a).

11 A “Market Maker” includes Registered Options Traders (Rule 1014(b)(i) and (ii)), which includes Streaming Quote Traders (see Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A)), and Remote Streaming Quote Traders (see Rule 1014(b)(ii)(B)).

12 The term “Professional” is a person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). See Rule 1000(b)(14).

The Exchange proposes to increase the cap for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies from $1,000 to $1,250 provided the strategy is executed on the same trading day in the same options class when such members are trading in their own proprietary account. Further, the Exchange proposes to adopt a cap for floor options transaction charges for reversal and conversion strategies of $750, provided the reversal and conversion strategy is executed on the same trading day in the same options class when such members are trading in their own proprietary account, similar to dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies. The Exchange also proposes to increase the cap for floor equity options transaction charges for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies combined from the greater of $10,000 per member or $25,000 per member organization per month to simply $35,000 per member organization per month provided that such members are trading in their own proprietary account.

13 The Exchange proposes to apply this cap of $35,000 per member organization per month to reversal and conversion strategies as well and term the cap as the “Monthly Strategy Cap.”

The Exchange proposes to define reversal and conversion strategies within Section II of the Pricing Schedule as follows: “Reversals and conversions are transactions that employ calls and puts of the same strike price and term in pairs. Reversals are established by combining a short stop position with a short put and a long call position that shares the same strike and expiration. Conversions employ long positions in the underlying stock that accompany long puts and short calls sharing the same strike and expiration.”

Further, the Exchange proposes to include Firm reversal and conversion transactions in the Monthly Firm Fee Cap and note this in Section II of the Pricing Schedule. Because of the inclusion of the Firm reversal and conversion transactions in the Monthly Firm Fee Cap, the Exchange also proposes to exclude Firm reversal and conversion transactions from the Monthly Strategy Cap. The proposal of the reversal and conversion strategy cap of $750 would apply to Firms, as proposed herein, as it applies to other market participants. The Exchange also proposes to note this exception to the Monthly Strategy Cap in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.

The Exchange proposes to exclude reversal and conversion strategy transactions from the $0.07 per side Service Fee which is applicable once a Specialist and Market Maker has reached the Monthly Market Maker Cap. The Exchange proposes to note in Section II of the Pricing Schedule that the $0.07 per side Service Fee “* * * will apply to every contract side of the QCC Order and Floor QCC Order after a Specialist or Market Maker has reached the Monthly Market Maker Cap, except for reversal and conversion strategy transactions executed via QCC.” Similarly, the Exchange proposes to exclude reversal and conversion strategy transactions from the $0.01 per side Service Fee which is applicable once a Firm has reached the Monthly Firm Fee Cap. The Exchange proposes to note in Section II of the Pricing Schedule that the $0.01 per side Service Fee “* * * will apply once a Firm has reached the Monthly Firm Fee Cap, except for reversal and conversion strategy transactions executed via QCC.”

For purposes of clarity, the Exchange proposes to add the word “floor” when describing the floor caps to indicate that the cap is only applicable to transactions which originated from the Exchange floor. This is not a change to the Pricing Schedule but rather a clarification. In addition, the Exchange proposes to amend the applicability of the fees caps by further specifying that in order to qualify for a fee cap, the buy

14 Specialists and Market Makers are subject to a “Monthly Market Maker Cap” of $550,000 for: (i) Equity option transaction fees; (ii) QCC Transaction Fees (as defined in Exchange Rule 1080(o) and Floor QCC Orders, as defined in 1064(e)); and (iii) fees related to an order or quote that is contra to a PXI Order or specifically responding to a PXI auction. For QCC Orders as defined in Exchange Rule 1080(o) and Floor QCC Orders, as defined in 1064(e), a Service Fee of $0.07 per side will apply once a Specialist and Market Maker has reached the Monthly Market Maker Cap.

15 Inclusion of the Firm reversal and conversion transactions in the Monthly Firm Fee Cap, the Exchange also proposes to exclude Firm reversal and conversion transactions from the Monthly Strategy Cap. The proposal of the reversal and conversion strategy cap of $750 would apply to Firms, as proposed herein, as it applies to other market participants. The Exchange also proposes to note this exception to the Monthly Strategy Cap in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.

Inclusion of the Firm reversal and conversion strategy transactions from the $0.07 per side Service Fee which is applicable once a Specialist and Market Maker has reached the Monthly Market Maker Cap. The Exchange proposes to note in Section II of the Pricing Schedule that the $0.07 per side Service Fee “* * * will apply to every contract side of the QCC Order and Floor QCC Order after a Specialist or Market Maker has reached the Monthly Market Maker Cap, except for reversal and conversion strategy transactions executed via QCC.” Similarly, the Exchange proposes to exclude reversal and conversion strategy transactions from the $0.01 per side Service Fee which is applicable once a Firm has reached the Monthly Firm Fee Cap. The Exchange proposes to note in Section II of the Pricing Schedule that the $0.01 per side Service Fee “* * * will apply once a Firm has reached the Monthly Firm Fee Cap, except for reversal and conversion strategy transactions executed via QCC.”
and sell side of a transaction must originate on the Exchange floor. Today, the Exchange does not restrict the buy and sell sides to floor transactions. This proposal would require that the cap apply to floor options transactions charges if both the buy and sell sides originated on the Exchange floor to receive the benefit of the fee cap. The Exchange is proposing to add this language to Section II of the Pricing Schedule.

For purposes of clarity, the Exchange also proposes to make a technical correction to Section II of the Pricing Schedule to remove a reference to the term “Reversal and Conversion Cap” and replace it with “reversal and conversion strategy.” The Exchange also proposes to substitute the word “or” instead of “and” to further clarify text related to the Monthly Market Maker Cap. The Exchange previously eliminated a former Reversal and Conversion Cap and inadvertently did not also eliminate a reference to this defined terminology from a prior rule filing. The Exchange proposes to remove the defined term at this time and utilize the term “reversal and conversion strategy.” The Exchange also proposes to make a technical amendment to substitute the term “equity options transaction fees” with “options transaction charges” so that the terminology is consistent throughout Section II of the Pricing Schedule. Finally, the Exchange proposes to add the term “Multiply Listed Options” in Section II for clarity to indicate the strategies apply to all Multiply Listed Options.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Pricing Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange’s proposal to increase the fee cap on floor option transaction charges for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies from $1,000 to $1,250 per month, provided the strategy is executed on the same trading day in the same options class when such members are trading in their own proprietary accounts, is reasonable because the Exchange seeks to incentivize members to transact a greater number of strategies on the Exchange to benefit from the fee cap. The Exchange also believes its proposal to increase the Monthly Strategy Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will offer all members, except for Customers, the same opportunity to cap their floor option transaction charges in Multiply Listed Options.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to adopt a $750 per month fee cap on floor options transaction charges for reversal and conversion strategies, provided the reversal and conversion strategy is executed on the same trading day in the same options class when such members are trading in their own proprietary accounts, is reasonable because the Exchange seeks to incentivize members to transact a greater number of strategies on the Exchange to benefit from the fee cap. Also, this proposal is similar to reversal and conversion strategies from the Monthly Market Maker Cap. The Exchange believes that its proposal to increase the Monthly Strategy Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would offer all members, except for Customers, the same opportunity to cap their floor option transaction charges in Multiply Listed Options.

The Exchange believes its proposal to amend and increase fee caps for floor options transaction charges for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies and adopt the cap for reversal and conversion strategies of $35,000 per member organization per month, provided that such members are trading in their own proprietary accounts, is reasonable because the Exchange seeks to incentivize members to transact a greater number of strategies on the Exchange to benefit from the fee cap. The Exchange also believes its proposal to increase the Monthly Strategy Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would offer all members, except for Customers, the opportunity to cap their floor option transactions for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies. With respect to reversal and conversion strategies, the Exchange believes that its proposal to increase the Monthly Strategy Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would offer all members, except for Customers and Firms, the opportunity to cap their floor equity options transaction charges for dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies.

The Exchange’s proposal to exclude Firm floor options transaction charges related to reversal and conversion strategies from the Monthly Strategy Cap is reasonable because these fees would be capped as part of the Monthly Firm Fee Cap, which applies only to Firms. The Exchange believes that the exclusion of Firm floor options transaction charges related to reversal and conversion strategies from the Monthly Strategy Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because Firms, unlike other market participants, have the ability to cap transaction fees up to $75,000 per month. The Exchange would include floor option transaction charges related to reversal and conversion strategies in the Monthly Strategy Cap for Professionals, and Broker Dealers because these market participants are not subject to the Monthly Firm Fee Cap or other similar Cap. While Specialists and Market Makers are subject to a Monthly Market Maker Cap on both electronic and floor options transaction charges, reversal and conversion transactions are excluded from the Monthly Market Maker Cap. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes including reversal and conversion strategies in the Monthly Firm Fee Cap is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the cap provides an incentive for Firms to transact floor transactions on the Exchange, which brings increased liquidity and order flow to the floor for the benefit of all market participants.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend the applicability of the fee caps to orders originating from the Exchange floor is reasonable because...

---

\textsuperscript{18} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).
\textsuperscript{20} See NYSE Arca General Options and Trading Permit (OTP) Fees.
\textsuperscript{21} See NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule.
\textsuperscript{22} See CBOE’s Fees Schedule.
\textsuperscript{23} Customers are not assessed options transaction charges in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.
\textsuperscript{24} See NYSE Arca General Options and Trading Permit (OTP) Fees.
\textsuperscript{25} See NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule.
\textsuperscript{26} See CBOE’s Fees Schedule.
\textsuperscript{27} Customers are not assessed options transaction charges in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.
\textsuperscript{28} Customers are not assessed options transaction charges in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.
members pay floor brokers to execute trades on the Exchange floor. The Exchange believes that offering fee caps to members executing floor transactions would defray brokerage costs associated with executing strategy transactions and continue to incentivize members to utilize the floor for certain executions.\(^{32}\) The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend the applicability of the fee caps to orders originating from the Exchange floor is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because today, the fee caps are only applicable for floor transactions. The Exchange believes that a requirement that both the buy and sell sides of the order originate from the floor to qualify for the fee cap would constitute equal treatment of members.

The Exchange believes that excluding the reversal and conversion strategy transactions from both the $0.07 per side Service Fee applicable to the Monthly Market Maker Cap and the $0.01 per side Service Fee applicable to the Monthly Firm Fee Cap is reasonable because the Exchange is not offering members a QCC rebate for floor transactions executed via QCC.\(^{33}\) The Exchange specifically excludes dividend, merger, short stock interest or reversal or conversion strategy executions from the QCC rebate and therefore does not desire to assess the Service Fees for these types of transactions.\(^{34}\) The Exchange believes that excluding the reversal and conversion strategy transactions from both the $0.07 per side Service Fee applicable to the Monthly Market Maker Cap and the $0.01 per side Service Fee applicable to the Monthly Firm Fee Cap is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would not assess the Service Fees described herein on any member executing reversal and conversion strategies on QCC.

The Exchange believes that removing an outdated reference and making clarifying changes to the Pricing Schedule, such as adding the reference to floor and amending “equity options transaction fees” to “options transaction charges,” adding the term “Multiplying Listed Options” to the discussion of strategies and substituting the word “and” with “or” in the Monthly Market Maker Cap are reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory amendments because these technical amendments would clarify the Pricing Schedule and make it terms consistent throughout.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. To the contrary, the proposed fees would continue to encourage members to transact strategies on the exchange because the proposed fee caps are competitive with fee caps at other options exchanges.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.\(^{35}\) At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–Phlx–2012–138 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2012–138. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2012–138 and should be submitted on or before January 7, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\(^{36}\)

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–30323 Filed 12–14–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

\(^{32}\) The Exchange’s proposal would only apply the fee cap to options transaction charges where buy and sell sides originate from the Exchange floor. See proposed rule text in Section II of the Pricing Schedule.

\(^{33}\) QCC Transaction Fees for a Specialist, Market Maker, Professional, Firm and Broker-Dealer are $0.20 per contract. QCC Transaction Fees apply to QCC Orders, as defined in Exchange Rule 1080(o), and Floor QCC Orders, as defined in 1064(e). A rebate, as specified in Section II of the Pricing Schedule is paid pursuant to the QCC Rebate Schedule on all qualifying executed QCC Orders, as defined in Exchange Rule 1080(o) and Floor QCC Orders, as defined in 1064(e), except where the transaction is either: (i) Customer-to-Customer; or (ii) a dividend, merger or short stock interest strategy or reversal or conversion strategy executions (as defined in Section II). The proposed rule text relating to reversal and conversion strategies is included in this explanation.

\(^{34}\) Dividend, merger and short stock interest strategies do not qualify as QCC Transactions pursuant to Rule 1080(o)(3) because of the necessity of the stock component.
