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The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3).
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If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission’s permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e., partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.
1. **Text of the Proposed Rule Change**

   (a) The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")\(^1\) and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to eliminate a transaction credit at Equity 7, Section 118(a), as described further below.

   A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached as Exhibit 1. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.

   (b) Not applicable.

   (c) Not applicable.

2. **Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization**

   The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors (the "Board"). Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

   Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

   Katie Hopkins
   Associate General Counsel
   Nasdaq, Inc.
   301-232-4067

---


3. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

   a. **Purpose**

   The purpose of the proposed rule change is to eliminate a transaction credit currently offered to members for displayed quotes/orders (other than Supplemental Orders or Designated Retail Orders) at Equity 7, Section 118(a)(1). Specifically, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the $0.00295 per share executed credit for securities in Tapes A, B, and C offered to members with shares of liquidity provided in all securities through one or more of its Nasdaq Market Center MPIDs that represent 0.85% or more of Consolidated Volume, which includes shares of liquidity provided with respect to securities that are listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE that represent 0.25% or more of Consolidated Volume.

   The Exchange proposes to eliminate this credit because it has not been heavily utilized or successful in accomplishing its objective of inducing members to increase liquidity on the Exchange, including in shares listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE. The Exchange has limited resources to allocate to incentives and it must, from time to time, reallocate those resources to maximize their net impact on the Exchange, market quality, and participants.

   b. **Statutory Basis**

   The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and

---

4  15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).
other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange’s proposed changes to its schedule of credits are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for equity securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ … As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’ …”

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its

---

broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for equity security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of several equity venues to which market participants may direct their order flow. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume thresholds.

Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange proposes to eliminate a credit that has not been successful in accomplishing its objective of inducing members to increase liquidity on the Exchange, including in shares listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE. The proposed deletion is designed to streamline the Exchange’s fee schedule. The Exchange has limited resources to devote to incentive programs, and it is appropriate for the Exchange to reallocate these incentives periodically in a manner that best achieves the Exchange’s overall mix of objectives.

Those participants that are dissatisfied with the elimination from the Exchange’s schedule of credits are free to shift their order flow to competing venues that provide more generous incentives or less stringent qualifying criteria.

---

4. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

**Intramarket Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that its proposal will place any category of Exchange participant at a competitive disadvantage.

The Exchange intends for its proposed elimination of a credit at Equity 7, Section 118(a) to simplify its fee schedule, eliminate an unsuccessful rebate, preserve its limited resources for optimized effect, and better align the schedule of credits with the Exchange’s overall mix of objectives. The Exchange notes that its members are free to trade on other venues to the extent they believe that the proposal is not attractive. As one can observe by looking at any market share chart, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes.

**Intermarket Competition**

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its credits and fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own credits and fees in response, and because market participants
may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to
which credit or fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is
extremely limited. The proposal is reflective of this competition.

Even as one of the largest U.S. equities exchanges by volume, the Exchange has
less than 20% market share, which in most markets could hardly be categorized as having
enough market power to burden competition. Moreover, as noted above, price
competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely
between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes. This is in addition to free flow
of order flow to and among off-exchange venues, which comprises upwards of 50% of
industry volume.

If the change proposed herein is unattractive to market participants, it is likely that
the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not
believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of members or competing order
execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

5. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule
Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action**

Not applicable.

7. **Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated
Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)**

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, the Exchange has designated this
proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-

regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

   Not applicable.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

   Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

   Not applicable.

11. Exhibits


   5. Text of the proposed rule change.
Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to eliminate a transaction credit at Equity 7, Section 118(a), as described further below. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Website at https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/nasdaq/rules, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it
received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

1. **Purpose**

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to eliminate a transaction credit currently offered to members for displayed quotes/orders (other than Supplemental Orders or Designated Retail Orders) at Equity 7, Section 118(a)(1). Specifically, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the $0.00295 per share executed credit for securities in Tapes A, B, and C offered to members with shares of liquidity provided in all securities through one or more of its Nasdaq Market Center MPIDs that represent 0.85% or more of Consolidated Volume, which includes shares of liquidity provided with respect to securities that are listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE that represent 0.25% or more of Consolidated Volume.

The Exchange proposes to eliminate this credit because it has not been heavily utilized or successful in accomplishing its objective of inducing members to increase liquidity on the Exchange, including in shares listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE. The Exchange has limited resources to allocate to incentives and it must, from time to time, reallocate those resources to maximize their net impact on the Exchange, market quality, and participants.
2. **Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,\(^3\) in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,\(^4\) in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange’s proposed changes to its schedule of credits are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for equity securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ … As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’ ….”\(^5\)

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for

---

\(^3\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

\(^4\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in
the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve
the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in
determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the
market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its
broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”6

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For
eexample, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for equity security
transaction services. The Exchange is only one of several equity venues to which market
participants may direct their order flow. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered
pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that
apply based upon members achieving certain volume thresholds.

Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their
order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their
respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by
the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange proposes to eliminate a credit that has not been successful in
accomplishing its objective of inducing members to increase liquidity on the Exchange,
including in shares listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE. The proposed
deletion is designed to streamline the Exchange’s fee schedule. The Exchange has
limited resources to devote to incentive programs, and it is appropriate for the Exchange

---

(June 29, 2005) (“Regulation NMS Adopting Release”).
to reallocate these incentives periodically in a manner that best achieves the Exchange’s overall mix of objectives.

Those participants that are dissatisfied with the elimination from the Exchange’s schedule of credits are free to shift their order flow to competing venues that provide more generous incentives or less stringent qualifying criteria.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intramarket Competition

The Exchange does not believe that its proposal will place any category of Exchange participant at a competitive disadvantage.

The Exchange intends for its proposed elimination of a credit at Equity 7, Section 118(a) to simplify its fee schedule, eliminate an unsuccessful rebate, preserve its limited resources for optimized effect, and better align the schedule of credits with the Exchange’s overall mix of objectives. The Exchange notes that its members are free to trade on other venues to the extent they believe that the proposal is not attractive. As one can observe by looking at any market share chart, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes.

Intermarket Competition

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate
opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its credits and fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own credits and fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which credit or fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. The proposal is reflective of this competition.

Even as one of the largest U.S. equities exchanges by volume, the Exchange has less than 20% market share, which in most markets could hardly be categorized as having enough market power to burden competition. Moreover, as noted above, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes. This is in addition to free flow of order flow to and among off-exchange venues, which comprises upwards of 50% of industry volume.

If the change proposed herein is unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.
III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.7

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2023-015 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2023-015. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2023-015 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  

J. Matthew DeLesDernier  
Assistant Secretary

---

Deleted text is [bracketed]. New text is underlined.

THE NASDAQ STOCK MARKET LLC RULES

* * * * *

Equity Rules

* * * * *

Equity 7: Pricing Schedule

* * * * *

Section 118. Nasdaq Market Center Order Execution and Routing

* * * * *

(1) Fees for Execution and Routing of Orders

| Charge to enter orders that execute in the Nasdaq Market Center (per share executed): |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                               | $0.00295        | $0.00295        | $0.00295        |

Credit to member for displayed quotes/orders (other than Supplemental Orders or Designated Retail Orders) that provide liquidity (per share executed):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit to member</th>
<th>Tape A</th>
<th>Tape B</th>
<th>Tape C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[member with shares of liquidity provided in all securities through one or more of its Nasdaq Market Center MPIDs that represent 0.85% or more of Consolidated Volume, which includes shares of liquidity provided with respect to securities that are listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq or NYSE that represent 0.25% or more of Consolidated Volume:]</td>
<td>$0.00295</td>
<td>$0.00295</td>
<td>$0.00295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * * * *