SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations: The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Market Maker Requirements in Equity 2, Sections 4, 5, and 11

December 15, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 2, 2022, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Equity 2, Section 4, Section 5 and Section 11 related to certain Market Maker requirements, as described further below.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/bx/rules, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Equity 2 establishes rules for Nasdaq market participants. The Exchange is proposing to (1) amend Equity 2, Section 4 (Registration as a Nasdaq Market Maker) to require a Market Maker3 to provide written notice of termination as a Market Maker, (2) amend Equity 2, Section 11 (Voluntary Termination of Registration) to require a Market Maker to provide written notice of withdrawal of its two-sided quotations when terminating its registration in a security and to lower the time period for re-registering in a security, (3) update Equity 2, Section 5 (Market Maker Obligations) to eliminate certain provisions that are no longer applicable and to make a clarifying amendment, and (4) make non-substantive changes throughout these three sections.

Currently, the Exchange has no requirements for a Market Maker to provide notification prior to withdrawing its registration as a Market Maker. The lack of a notification process impedes the Exchange’s recordkeeping. Without formal written notice of withdrawal as a Market Maker, the Exchange is not always able to determine the specific date on which the Market Maker’s registration withdrawal became effective.

Therefore, the Exchange is proposing to adopt Equity 2, Section 4(d) to require a Market Maker to terminate its registration as a Market Maker by giving written notice to the Exchange. A Market Maker’s termination of registration will become immediately effective. A Market Maker who fails to notify Nasdaq in writing of its termination of registration prior to such termination may be subject to formal disciplinary action pursuant to Nasdaq General 5. The written notification requirement is similar to another exchange.4 In conjunction with proposed Equity 2, Section 4(d), Nasdaq is also proposing to change the title of Section 4 to include "and Termination".

Similarly, Equity 2, Section 11 does not require a Market Maker to provide written notification when terminating its registration in a specific security. Currently, a MarketMaker may voluntarily terminate its registration in a security by withdrawing its two-sided quotation from the Nasdaq Market Center, but the Market Maker is not required to provide written notification of its withdrawal and termination. A lack of written notification of withdrawal limits the Exchange’s ability to effectively enforce its rules and ensure that Market Makers are complying with its rules. Additionally, the Market Maker that voluntarily terminates its registration in a specific security is prohibited from re-registering in that specific symbol for twenty business days in the case of Nasdaq-listed securities or for one business day in the case of intermarket trading system (“ITS”) securities.5 Lack of written notification inhibits the Exchange’s ability to monitor compliance with those requirements.

The Exchange is proposing to amend Equity 2, Section 11(a) to require a Market Maker to provide written notice that the Nasdaq Market Maker will withdraw its two-sided quotation from the Nasdaq Market Center. A Market Maker that fails to provide written notice of termination to Nasdaq prior to withdrawing its two-sided quotation may be subject to formal disciplinary action pursuant to Nasdaq General 5. Additionally, the Exchange is removing the time period distinction between Nasdaq-listed securities and non-Nasdaq listed securities by lowering the re-registration waiting period to five business days for Nasdaq-listed securities and increasing the re-registration waiting period to five business days for ITS (non-Nasdaq listed) securities. As a result of eliminating the waiting period distinction between Nasdaq-listed and non-Nasdaq listed securities, the Exchange is also proposing to remove references in this rule to the term “ITS securities”. Amending the waiting period and removing the distinction between Nasdaq and non-Nasdaq listed securities provides Market Makers with a more reasonable amount of time to re-register in the Nasdaq-listed security and aligns the waiting period irrespective of where the security is listed. Additionally, increasing the waiting period to re-register in a non-Nasdaq listed security will incentivize Market Makers to maintain their

4 The rule text currently uses the term “ITS securities” but the Exchange is removing the language related to ITS because the ITS Plan no longer exists. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55397 (March 5, 2007), 72 FR 11066 (March 12, 2007) (Elimination of ITS Plan). Non-Nasdaq listed securities are currently subject to the one business day period that the rule specifically applies to ITS securities.

5 “Nasdaq Market Makers” or “Market Makers” are members that are registered as Nasdaq Market Makers for purposes of participation in the Nasdaq Market Center (or “System”) on a fully automated basis with respect to one or more System securities. See Nasdaq Equity 1, Section 1(a)(5)(B).


registrations and ongoing quoting obligations in non-Nasdaq listed securities without being overly burdensome. The written notification requirement for termination of registration in a security is similar to another exchange. The Exchange is also proposing to make non-substantive changes to Equity 2, Section 11(a) to remove redundant language, and to Equity 2, Section 11(b) to conform the language to Section 11(a).

Additionally, the Exchange is proposing to amend Equity 2, Section 11(d) to clarify that a Nasdaq Market Maker will not be subject to formal disciplinary action for the failure to give written notice of withdrawal in a security to Nasdaq, if the Nasdaq Market Maker’s two-sided quotation in the subject security is withdrawn by Nasdaq’s systems due to an issuer corporate action related to a dividend, payment or distribution, or due to a trading halt, and if certain other conditions are satisfied. This change is a conforming change to the changes being made in Equity 2, Section 11(a). The Exchange is also proposing a non-substantive change to include the word “written” in Section 11(d)(3) to clarify that the Nasdaq Market Maker’s request to enter a new two-sided quotation must be in writing.

Lastly, the Equity 2, Section 5 currently makes references to a Market Maker’s and an Electronic Communications Network’s (“ECN”) use of a Primary MPID and additional MPIDs (“Supplemental MPIDs”). By way of background, in 2003, the Exchange made additional MPIDs available to Market Makers and ECNs as a pilot program to allow Market Makers to contribute more liquidity and better manage order flow. The program became permanent in 2008 and removed any restrictions on the number of Supplemental MPIDs that a Market Maker or ECN could obtain. If a Market Maker or ECN failed to fulfill the obligations appurtenant to its primary MPID (e.g., by being placed into an unexercised withdrawal), it would not be permitted to use any Supplemental MPIDs for any purpose in that security.

Member firms were also assessed a monthly fee for each Supplemental MPID issued by the Exchange, unless the Supplemental MPIDs were used exclusively for reporting information to facilities of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) (e.g., FINRA/Nasdaq Trade Reporting Facility). The Exchange subsequently eliminated the distinction between Primary and Supplemental MPIDs and began assessing the same fee per month, per MPID.

The Exchange does not believe that it is necessary to draw a distinction between the terms “Primary MPID” and “Supplemental MPID” in its rule because a Market Maker is required to fulfill its quoting obligations and comply with applicable self-regulatory organization and Commission rules in all MPIDs that the Market Maker has registered with the Exchange as a Market Maker MPID. Therefore, the Exchange is proposing to remove discussion of the terms by deleting Equity 2, Section 5(a)(2)(J) and Section 5(a)(2)(K), because the Exchange no longer distinguishes between Primary and Supplemental MPIDs. Moreover, the Exchange believes that removing references to these terms will provide further clarification that a Market Maker must satisfy its Two-Sided Quoting Obligations, and comply with excused withdrawal procedures for all MPIDs that it has registered as a Market Maker MPID. Moreover, even though the Exchange is proposing to delete Equity 2, Section 5(a)(2)(K), to the extent a Nasdaq member wishes to engage in passive market making or enter a stabilizing bid on the Exchange, the member must continue to comply with all Nasdaq (Equity 2, Sections 6 and 10), FINRA and SEC rules that govern passive market making and stabilizing bids, even if the Nasdaq member generally uses multiple MPIDs.

The Exchange is also proposing to clarify in Equity 2, Section 5(a)(1) that only Attributable Quotes/Orders are eligible to meet a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Quoting Obligation, which is current practice. Additionally, the Exchange is proposing to remove language from Section 5(a)(1) that reiterates that a Market Maker may augment its Two-Sided Obligation size to display similarly priced limit orders priced at the same price as the Two-Sided Obligation. The Exchange also believes that Section 5(a)(1) already makes clear that the minimum displayed quotation size must be at least one normal unit of trading. Therefore, the additional explanation regarding augmentation of a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Obligation size is redundant and may cause confusion to the Market Maker requirements under Section 5(a)(1). Therefore, the Exchange’s proposal to remove the explanatory language will help to clarify Section 5(a)(1). Additionally, the Exchange is proposing to make a non-substantive conforming change to make the term “Nasdaq Market Maker” consistent throughout Equity 2, Sections 4, 5 and 11.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. Ensuring that the Exchange can effectively surveil for and pursue disciplinary actions when market participants are not operating in accordance with its rules is of utmost importance to the Exchange. Therefore, from time to time, the Exchange will review its rulebook to amend any rules that use obsolete concepts or terms, or that make it difficult to take disciplinary actions against market participants who are in violation of the Exchange’s rules. The Exchange believes that the proposed amendments will provide market participants with a clearer understanding of the Exchange’s rules related to registration and obligations as a Nasdaq Market Maker, voluntary termination of registration as a Market Maker in a security, and termination of registration in a security due to

Notes:

6 See Choe EDGX Exchange, Inc. Rule 11.19(b)
(Similar to this proposal, Choe EDGX requires written notice for voluntarily termination of registration in a security and may place other conditions on withdrawal and re-registration in a security; however, unlike this proposal, Choe EDGX does not specify a waiting period for re-registration).


9 Id.


12 Nasdaq Equity 2, Section 5(a)(2)(J) and Section 5(a)(2)(K) also discuss the term “ECN.” The Exchange is also removing discussions of the term because the Exchange no longer distinguishes between Primary and Supplemental MPIDs for ECNs. Therefore, all MPIDs of ECNs would be required to comply with applicable rules.


accidental withdrawal of the Market Maker’s two-sided quotations in a security.

In particular, the Exchange believes that proposed Equity 2, Section 4(d) is reasonable because without receiving formal written notice from the Market Maker, the Exchange is not always able to determine the specific date on which the Market Maker’s terminated registration became effective. The Exchange’s proposal to require a Market Maker to provide written notice of termination of its registration as a Market Maker will allow the Exchange to improve its recordkeeping process and ensure that its Market Makers are adhering to the Exchange’s Market Maker rules. Additionally, the Exchange’s rule is similar to rules established by another exchange.15

For similar reasons, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable to require a Market Maker to provide written notice of its termination of registration in a security prior to withdrawing its two-sided quotation from the Nasdaq Market Center pursuant to proposed Equity 2, Section 11(a). Requiring a Market Maker to provide formal written notice of its voluntary termination of registration in a security will allow the Exchange to improve its surveillance by gaining a clearer understanding of when a Market Maker has voluntarily terminated its registration in a security and when it is simply not meeting its Market Maker obligations. This also allows the Exchange to know when to take formal disciplinary action against a Market Maker that fails to meet its Two-Sided Quoting Obligations in a particular security and also fails to provide the Exchange with written notice of its termination of registration in a security. The notice requirement is also similar to another exchange.16

The Exchange also believes that lowering the re-registration waiting period to five business days for Nasdaq-listed securities provides Market Makers with a more reasonable amount of time to re-register in the Nasdaq-listed security than the previous twenty business day period, and increasing the waiting period to re-register in a non-Nasdaq listed security will incentivize Market Makers to maintain ongoing quoting obligations in non-Nasdaq listed securities without being overly burdensome. Moreover, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable to make conforming changes in Equity 2, Section 11(d) to provide that a Market Maker will not be subject to formal disciplinary action for failing to provide written notification of termination of registration in a security when the Market Maker’s two-sided quotation in the security is withdrawn by Nasdaq’s systems due to certain circumstances. The Exchange does not believe that a Market Maker should be subject to disciplinary action for not providing prior notice of withdrawal in those circumstances because the termination was not within the control of the Market Maker.

The Exchange also believes that it is important to periodically update its rules and remove language that has the potential for causing discrepancies or confusion. The Exchange no longer distinguishes between Primary and Supplemental MPIDs for ECNs. Additionally, ECNs registered as Market Makers on the Exchange are required to follow the same Quoting Obligation rules as Market Makers. Therefore, removing references to ECNs from Equity 2, Section 5(a)(2) will update and clarify the rule. Moreover, a Market Maker is required to fulfill its quoting obligations in all MPIDs that the Market Maker has registered with the Exchange, and the Exchange no longer makes the distinction between Primary and Supplemental MPIDs for Market Makers. Therefore, the Exchange believes eliminating the differentiation between the terms “Supplemental MPID” and “Primary MPID” by removing discussions of the terms in Equity 2, Section 5(a)(2)(J) and Section 5(a)(2)(K) will eliminate confusion about which MPIDs are required to meet a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Quoting Obligations and comply with the excused withdrawal procedures and allow the Exchange to improve its surveillance of any Market Maker that fails to meet its obligations.17

Furthermore, the Exchange has already eliminated this distinction of these terms in its fees by assessing the same fee per month, per MPID. Additionally, Market Makers are already aware that only Attributable Quotes/Orders may satisfy the Two-Sided Quoting Obligation. Therefore, the Exchange’s proposal to add the term Attributable Quotes/Orders to Equity 2, Section 5(a)(1) is merely an update to align the Exchange’s rules with the understanding of market participants. Moreover, Section 5(a)(1) makes clear that the minimum displayed quotation size for a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Obligation must be at least one normal unit of trading. Therefore, the Exchange believes that the additional explanation regarding augmentation of a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Obligation size is redundant and may cause confusion to the Market Maker requirements under Section 5(a)(1). Therefore, the Exchange’s proposal to remove the explanatory language will help to clarify Section 5(a)(1).

Lastly, the Exchange is also proposing technical changes to (1) Equity 2, Section 4, to include the word “termination” within the title; (2) Equity 2, Section 11 to remove the term “voluntary” and include the phrase “in a security” within the title; and (3) Equity 2 Sections 4, 5 and 11 to use the term “Nasdaq Market Maker” throughout. The Exchange believes that these changes will provide consistency and clarity throughout these sections of the rule text.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Every market participant who chooses to register as a Market Maker on the Exchange is required to meet the Exchange’s Market Maker obligations. Furthermore, the proposals will help to update and correct the Exchange’s Market Maker obligations by removing references to Primary MPID and Supplemental MPID, thereby eliminating confusion about which MPIDs are required to meet a Market Maker’s Two-Sided Quoting Obligations and excused withdrawal procedures. Also, the removal of obsolete language such as ITS and explanatory language related to a Market Maker augmenting its Two-Sided Obligation size, and the addition of the term Attributable Quotes/Orders, would not impose a burden on competition and the proposed changes would provide clarification to the Exchange’s Market Maker obligations and reflect current practice.

In addition, the Exchange does not believe that aligning the waiting periods to re-register in a specific security irrespective of where the security is listed would cause any burden on competition because, as discussed above, increasing the waiting period to re-register in a non-Nasdaq listed security will incentivize Market Makers

15 See Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. Rule 11.17(d).
16See Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. Rule 11.19(b). (Although Cboe EDGX requires written notice and may place other conditions on re-registration in a security, the exchange does not specify a waiting period for re-registration).
17To the extent a Nasdaq member wishes to engage in passive market making or enter a stabilizing bid on the Exchange, the member must continue to comply with all Nasdaq [Eq. 2, Sections 6 and 10], FINRA and SEC rules that govern passive market making and stabilizing bids, even if the Nasdaq member generally uses multiple MPIDs.
to maintain their registrations and ongoing quoting obligations in non-Nasdaq listed securities while decreasing the waiting period to re-register in a Nasdaq-listed security would decrease the burden on Market Makers.

Moreover, the Exchange does not believe that the removal of references to Primary and Secondary MPID will impose any burden on competition because to the extent a Nasdaq member wishes to engage in passive market making or enter a stabilizing bid on the Exchange, it must continue to comply with all Nasdaq (Equity 2, Sections 6 and 10), FINRA and SEC rules that govern passive market making and stabilizing bids.

Additionally, as discussed above, similar notification provisions for termination of Market Maker registration and voluntary termination of registration in a specific security currently exist on another exchange. These notification requirements are intended to better allow the Exchange to enforce Market Maker compliance with applicable rules.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) hereunder.19

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in the public interest.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2022–073 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2022–073. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2022–073, and should be submitted on or before January 11, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.20

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–27654 Filed 12–20–22; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing a Proposed Rule Change To Make Certain Enhancements to the Gap Risk Measure and the VaR Charge

December 15, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 2, 2022, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency.3 The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) The proposed rule change of NSCC consists of modifications to NSCC’s Rules & Procedures (“Rules”)4 in order to enhance the calculation of the volatility component of the Clearing Fund formula that utilizes a parametric Value-at-Risk (“VaR”) model (“VaR Charge”) by (1) making the result of the gap risk measure (“Gap Risk Measure”) calculation an additive component of the VaR Charge when it is applicable, rather than being applied as the applicable VaR Charge when it is the largest of three separate calculations, (2) modifying the language relating to