Required	l fields are show	vn with yellow	v backgrounds and a	sterisks.				OMB Number: 3235-0045 Estimated average burden hours per response
WASHIN				D EXCHANGE COMMISSION File No GTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4 Amendment No. (req. fo				lo.* SR - 2016 - * 046 for Amendments *)
Filing by NASDAQ Stock Market Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934								
Initial 🔽	* Ameno	dment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b	o)(2) *	Sectio	n 19(b)(3)(A) * Rule	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of for Commiss		Date Expires *		\checkmark	19b-4(f) 19b-4(f) 19b-4(f)	(2) 19b-4(f)	(5)
	of proposed channed ch	ange pursuant	to the Payment, Clea Section 806(e)(2)		ment Act of 2	2010		Swap Submission pursuant Exchange Act of 1934 b)(2) *
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document								
Description								
Provid	e a brief descrip	tion of the actio	on (limit 250 characte	rs, required whe	en Initial is ch	necked *).	
Propo	Proposal to amend Exchange access services fees at Rules 7015(b) and (g).							
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.								
First N	Name * Sean			Last Name *	Bennett			
Title *	Associa	te General Co	ounsel					
E-mai	E-mail * Sean.Bennett@nasdaq.com							
Telepl	none * (301) 97	8-8499	Fax					
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,								
has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. (Title *)								
Date	03/29/2016			Executive Vice	e President	and Ge	neral Counsel	
Ву	Edward S. Kni	ard S. Knight						
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.			ed	ward.knight	@nasda	aq.com		

OMB APPROVAL

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549							
For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.							
Form 19b-4 Information * Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.						
Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)						
Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)						
Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.						
Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.						
Exhibit 4 - Marked CopiesAddRemoveView	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.						
Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.						
Partial Amendment Add Remove View	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.						

SR-NASDAQ-2016-046

Page 3 of 19

1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to amend the Exchange's access services fees at Rules 7015(b) and (g) to increase the port fees charged to members and non-members for ports used to enter orders into Exchange systems, in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading telecommunication protocols. The Exchange is proposing to increase charges assessed for these connectivity options in light of the implementation of new hardware supporting the ports that use Field Programmable Gate Array ("FPGA") technology.

While these amendments are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the proposed amendments to be operative on April 1, 2016.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.
- 2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors (the "Board") on July 1, 2015. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

T. Sean Bennett Associate General Counsel Nasdaq, Inc. (301) 978-8499

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange is proposing to amend Rules 7015(b) and (g) to increase the monthly fees it charges for ports used to enter orders in the Nasdaq Market Center for the trading of equities, in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading telecommunication protocols. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to increase the fee assessed for a FIX Trading Port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month, to increase the fee assessed for a RASH port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month, and to increase the fee assessed for an OUCH port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month.

The Exchange is proposing to increase charges assessed for these connectivity options in light of a recent upgrade to the hardware supporting the ports to FPGA technology.³ FPGA technology is a hardware-delivery mechanism and an upgrade to the

³ The Exchange has previously implemented FPGA hardware and increased the fees proposed in this filing in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading ports; however, due to technical issues with the implementation the Exchanged determined to roll back the FPGA implementation and associated increased fees. <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75882 (September 10, 2015), 80 FR 55698 (September 16, 2015) (SR-NASDAQ-2015-110); <u>see also</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 77077 (February 8, 2016), 81 FR 7597 (February 12, 2016) (SR-NASDAQ-2016-014). The Exchange has resolved prior issues with the technology and implemented the FPGA hardware on FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading ports beginning February 8, 2016. Since implementation, the Exchange has not encountered any issues with the new hardware, and has observed improvements to the predictability of the telecommunications ports, as was anticipated.

software and software-and-hardware based mechanisms previously used for FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading ports. By taking advantage of hardware parallelism, FPGA technology is capable of processing more data packets during peak market conditions without the introduction of variable queuing latency. In other words, upgrading to FPGA technology improves the predictability of the telecommunications ports and thereby adds value to the user experience. In terms of messaging, the data content and sequencing on the new FPGA technology hardware of the upgraded trading ports is the same as on the legacy software-based versions of the Exchange's ports that were replaced.

The Exchange is offering new technology in order to keep pace with changes in the industry and evolving customer needs as new technologies emerge and products continue to develop and change. The Exchange is increasing the subscription fees for the upgraded ports to offset the costs associated with offering the new hardware, which include procuring, shipping, installing, and maintaining the new equipment and codebase.

b. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,⁴ in general, and with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that the proposal is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Page 6 of 19

impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange continuously strives to offer members state of the art technology to enhance their trading experience and thereby enhance the national market system. Incremental enhancements such as the advent of FPGA technology has helped make the U.S. markets the deepest, most liquid markets in the world. The FPGA hardware applied to the trading ports improves their predictability. Thus, the new hardware further perfects the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act⁶ in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and it does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed increased fees are reasonable because they are based on the costs associated with purchasing hardware (capital expenditures) and supporting and maintaining the infrastructure (operating expenditures) for the FPGA enhancement. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fees are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the fees apply equally to all users of the FPGA-enhanced ports and the fees applied in direct proportion to the number of ports used by each member.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

Page 7 of 19

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable.

In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is pro-competitive in that the enhancements improve the competitiveness of the Exchange and the overall quality of the national market system. If, as the Exchange believes, the FPGA enhancement provides the Exchange a competitive advantage, other exchanges will quickly respond by enhancing their own markets in the same way. Such innovation and imitation is the very essence of the

competition the Exchange Act is designed to promote.⁷

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule</u> <u>Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

- <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u> Not applicable.
- 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,⁸ The Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization</u> or of the Commission

Not applicable.

The Chicago Mercantile Exchange is currently using FPGA technology in order entry ports for the trading of futures. <u>See</u> <u>https://www.cmegroup.com/globex/files/NewiLinkArchitecture2014.pdf</u>.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

- 9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u> Not applicable.
- 10. <u>Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and</u> <u>Settlement Supervision Act</u>

Not applicable.

- 11. <u>Exhibits</u>
 - 1. Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>.
 - 5. Text of the proposed rule change.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2016-046)

April ___, 2016

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Fees under Rules 7015(b) and (g)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 29, 2016, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the</u> <u>Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's access services fees at Rules 7015(b) and (g) to increase the port fees charged to members and non-members for ports used to enter orders into Exchange systems, in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH and OUCH trading telecommunication protocols. While these amendments are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the proposed amendments to be operative on April 1, 2016.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at <u>http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com</u>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

1. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange is proposing to amend Rules 7015(b) and (g) to increase the monthly fees it charges for ports used to enter orders in the Nasdaq Market Center for the trading of equities, in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading telecommunication protocols. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to increase the fee assessed for a FIX Trading Port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month, to increase the fee assessed for a RASH port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month, and to increase the fee assessed for an OUCH port from \$550/port/month to \$575/port/month.

The Exchange is proposing to increase charges assessed for these connectivity options in light of a recent upgrade to the hardware supporting the ports to FPGA technology.³ FPGA technology is a hardware-delivery mechanism and an upgrade to the

³ The Exchange has previously implemented FPGA hardware and increased the fees proposed in this filing in connection with the use of the FIX, RASH, and

software and software-and-hardware based mechanisms previously used for FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading ports. By taking advantage of hardware parallelism, FPGA technology is capable of processing more data packets during peak market conditions without the introduction of variable queuing latency. In other words, upgrading to FPGA technology improves the predictability of the telecommunications ports and thereby adds value to the user experience. In terms of messaging, the data content and sequencing on the new FPGA technology hardware of the upgraded trading ports is the same as on the legacy software-based versions of the Exchange's ports that were replaced.

The Exchange is offering new technology in order to keep pace with changes in the industry and evolving customer needs as new technologies emerge and products continue to develop and change. The Exchange is increasing the subscription fees for the upgraded ports to offset the costs associated with offering the new hardware, which include procuring, shipping, installing, and maintaining the new equipment and codebase.

2. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,⁴ in general, and with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in

OUCH trading ports; however, due to technical issues with the implementation the Exchanged determined to roll back the FPGA implementation and associated increased fees. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75882 (September 10, 2015), 80 FR 55698 (September 16, 2015) (SR-NASDAQ-2015-110); see also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 77077 (February 8, 2016), 81 FR 7597 (February 12, 2016) (SR-NASDAQ-2016-014). The Exchange has resolved prior issues with the technology and implemented the FPGA hardware on FIX, RASH, and OUCH trading ports beginning February 8, 2016. Since implementation, the Exchange has not encountered any issues with the new hardware, and has observed improvements to the predictability of the telecommunications ports, as was anticipated.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

SR-NASDAQ-2016-046

Page 13 of 19

particular, in that the proposal is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange continuously strives to offer members state of the art technology to enhance their trading experience and thereby enhance the national market system. Incremental enhancements such as the advent of FPGA technology has helped make the U.S. markets the deepest, most liquid markets in the world. The FPGA hardware applied to the trading ports improves their predictability. Thus, the new hardware further perfects the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act⁶ in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and it does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed increased fees are reasonable because they are based on the costs associated with purchasing hardware (capital expenditures) and supporting and maintaining the infrastructure (operating expenditures) for the FPGA enhancement. The Exchange also believes that the proposed

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

Page 14 of 19

fees are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the fees apply equally to all users of the FPGA-enhanced ports and the fees applied in direct proportion to the number of ports used by each member.

B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable.

In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. To the contrary, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is pro-competitive in that the enhancements improve the competitiveness of the Exchange and the overall quality of the national market system. If, as the Exchange believes, the FPGA enhancement provides the Exchange a competitive advantage, other exchanges will quickly respond by enhancing their own markets in the same way. Such innovation and imitation is the very essence of the

competition the Exchange Act is designed to promote.⁷

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section

19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.⁸

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the

Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

The Chicago Mercantile Exchange is currently using FPGA technology in order entry ports for the trading of futures. <u>See</u> <u>https://www.cmegroup.com/globex/files/NewiLinkArchitecture2014.pdf</u>.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-046 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-046. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-046 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

Deleted text is [bracketed]. New text is <u>underlined</u>.

The NASDAQ Stock Market Rules

* * * * *

7015. Access Services

The charges under this rule are assessed by Nasdaq for connectivity to the following systems operated by NASDAQ or FINRA: the Nasdaq Market Center, FINRA Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine (TRACE), the FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility, FINRA's OTCBB Service, and the FINRA OTC Reporting Facility (ORF). The following fees are not applicable to the NASDAQ Options Market LLC. For related options fees for Access Services refer to Chapter XV, Section 3 of the Options Rules.

(a) No change.

(b) Financial Information Exchange (FIX)

Ports	Price
FIX Trading Port (FIX, FIX Lite (FLITE), BRUT FIX, and SUMO FIX)	\$5 <u>75[</u> 50]/port/month
FIX Port for Services Other than Trading (FINRA/NASDAQ Trade Reporting Facility, ORF, and TRACE)	\$500/port/month
Disaster recovery port	
(1) FIX Trading Port	\$25/port/month
(2) FIX Port for Services Other than Trading	No charge
$(\mathbf{c}) - (\mathbf{f})$ No change.	
(g) Other Port Fees	
(1) No change.	
(2) Other Ports	Price
OUCH	\$5 <u>75[</u> 50]/port/month
OUCH Backup	No charge
RASH	\$5 <u>75[</u> 50]/port/month
Multicast TotalView-ITCH (software-	\$1,000/port/month

based)

Multicast TotalView-ITCH (software- and hardware-based)	\$2,500/port/month
TCP ITCH data feed	\$750/port/month
DROP	\$550/port/month
Trading Ports used in Test Mode	\$100/port/month
Data Retransmission Port	No charge
Disaster recovery port (OUCH, RASH, and DROP)	\$25/port/month
Disaster recovery port (all other ports)	No charge
(3) No change.	

 $(\mathbf{h}) - (\mathbf{j})$ No change.

* * * * *