Required	fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and a	sterisks.		OMB Number: 3235-0045 Estimated average burden hours per response
Page 1 o	WASHIN	EXCHANGE COMMISSIO GTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4		le No.* SR - 2016 - * 012 eq. for Amendments *)
Filina I	by NASDAQ Stock Market			
U U	ant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange	Act of 1934		
Initial *	Amendment * Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section 19(b)(3)(A)	* Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *		19b-4(f)(2) 19b-	4(f)(4) 4(f)(5) 4(f)(6)
Notice	□ of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clea	ring, and Settlement Act of 2		ed Swap Submission pursuant
Sectior	a 806(e)(1) * Section 806(e)(2) <sup>•</sup>			ties Exchange Act of 1934 3C(b)(2) *
Exhibit 2	Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper D	ocument		
Descri	ption			
Provide	a brief description of the action (limit 250 characte	rs, required when Initial is c	pecked *)	
TTOVICE			lecked J.	
Amenc	NOM Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2			
Conto	ct Information			
Provide	e the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of ad to respond to questions and comments on the a	-	the self-regulatory org	anization
Firet N	ame * Angela	Last Name * Dunn		
Title *	Associate General Counsel			
E-mail				
Teleph	one * (215) 496-5692 Fax			
Signa	ture			
-	nt to the requirements of the Securities Exchange A	act of 1934		
1 41044				
has du	y caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the		v authorized. Title *)	
Date	01/28/2016	Executive Vice President	·	2l
Ву	Edward S. Knight			
	(Name *)		@paadag aag	
this form	Licking the button at right will digitally sign and lock . A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical e, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.	edward.knight	@nasdaq.com	1

OMB APPROVAL

	IES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
For complete Form 19b-4 i	nstructions please refer to the EFFS website.
Form 19b-4 Information *       Add     Remove       View	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.
Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications         Add       Remove       View         Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.
Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire         Add       Remove       View         Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.
Exhibit 4 - Marked CopiesAddRemoveView	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.
Add     Remove     View	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.
Partial Amendment       Add     Remove       View	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

#### 1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to amend Chapter XV, entitled "Options Pricing," at Section 2, which governs pricing for Exchange members using the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM"), the Exchange's facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options.

While changes to the Pricing Schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated these changes to be operative on February 1, 2016.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is at <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The text of the proposed rule change is at <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

#### 2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

Senior management of the Exchange approved the proposed rule change under authority delegated by the Exchange's Board of Directors ("Board") on July 1, 2015. The Exchange's staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the rule change. Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Angela Saccomandi Dunn, Associate General Counsel, Nasdaq, Inc., at (215) 496-5692.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

### 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes certain amendments to the NOM transaction fees set forth at Chapter XV, Section 2 for executing and routing standardized equity and index options under the Penny Pilot Options program. The Exchange desires to continue to offer an incentive to NOM Participants to add an even greater amount of liquidity to NOM. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to continue to incentivize Participants by continuing to offer the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker<sup>3</sup> and Non-NOM Market Maker<sup>4</sup> Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant adds 1.30% of Customer,<sup>5</sup> Professional,<sup>6</sup> Firm,<sup>7</sup>

<sup>4</sup> The term "Non-NOM Market Maker" is a registered market maker on another options exchange that is not a NOM Market Maker. A Non-NOM Market Maker must append the proper Non-NOM Market Maker designation to orders routed to NOM.

<sup>5</sup> The term "Customer" or ("C") applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Customer range at The Options Clearing Corporation which is not for the account of broker or dealer or for the account of a "Professional" (as that term is defined in Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48)).

<sup>6</sup> The term "Professional" or ("P") means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s) pursuant to Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48). All Professional orders shall be appropriately marked by Participants.

The term "Firm" or ("F") applies to any transaction that is identified by a
 Participant for clearing in the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The term "NOM Market Maker" is a Participant that has registered as a Market Maker on NOM pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 2, and must also remain in good standing pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 4. In order to receive NOM Market Maker pricing in all securities, the Participant must be registered as a NOM Market Maker in at least one security.

Broker-Dealer<sup>8</sup> or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and the Participant is (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant removes liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership.<sup>9</sup>

The Exchange is removing the current date range, January 11, 2016 through January 26, 2016, so the Exchange may continue to offer this incentive going forward. For purposes of clarity, the Exchange proposes to add rule text to make clear that Participants that add 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity *in either Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options* may qualify for the incentive. Also, the Exchange proposes to clarify that the 1.30% applies to *total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month*. While the Exchange believes that there is no confusion among market participants as to the qualifying volume for this incentive, the Exchange proposes to add this rule text language for clarity.

#### b. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6 of the Act,<sup>10</sup> in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in particular, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The term "Broker-Dealer" or ("B") applies to any transaction which is not subject to any of the other transaction fees applicable within a particular category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The term "Common Ownership" shall mean Participants under 75% common ownership or control. Common Ownership shall apply to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2 for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. Attracting order flow to the Exchange benefits all Participants who have the opportunity to interact with this order flow.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' ... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'...."<sup>12</sup> Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets and this proposal is consistent with those views in that it is a price cut driven by competition.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to incentivize Participants to send order flow to NOM by offering the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to

 <sup>&</sup>lt;u>Id.</u> at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21) at 73 FR at 74782-74783).

\$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant qualifies for the incentive,<sup>13</sup> is reasonable because the Exchange believes NOM will continue to attract a greater amount of order flow by offering this discounted rate. The Exchange believes that this additional fee reduction for Non-NOM Market Makers and NOM Market Makers should further incentivize Participants to add liquidity in Penny Pilot Options on NOM to obtain the discounted rate going forward.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to incentivize Participants to send order flow to NOM by offering the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant qualifies for the incentive,<sup>14</sup> is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the reasons which follow. NOM Market Makers have obligations to the market and regulatory requirements, which normally do not apply to other market participants.<sup>15</sup> A NOM Market Maker has the obligation, for example, to make continuous markets, engage in a course of dealings reasonably calculated to

<sup>14</sup> <u>Id.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Participants are required to add 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month <u>and</u> the Participant must be (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant must remove liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Pursuant to Chapter VII (Market Participants), Section 5 (Obligations of Market Makers), in registering as a market maker, an Options Participant commits himself to various obligations. Transactions of a Market Maker in its market making capacity must constitute a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and Market Makers should not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with such course of dealings. Further, all Market Makers are designated as specialists on NOM for all purposes under the Act or rules thereunder. <u>See</u> Chapter VII, Section 5.

contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with a course of dealings. The proposed differentiation as between NOM Market Makers and other market participants recognizes the differing contributions made to the trading environment on the Exchange by NOM Market Makers. For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that NOM Market Makers are entitled to discounted fees, provided they qualify for the discount. The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to offer the fee discount to Non-NOM Market Makers because the Exchange is offering Participants flexibility in the manner in which they are submitting their orders. Non-NOM Market Makers have obligations on other exchanges to qualify as a market maker. Also, the Exchange believes that market makers not registered on NOM will be encouraged to send orders to NOM as an away market maker (Non-NOM Market Maker) with this incentive. Because the incentive is being offered to both market makers registered on NOM and those not registered on NOM, the Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it encourages market makers to direct liquidity to NOM to the benefit of all Participants. This proposal recognizes the overall contributions made by market makers to a listed options market.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to only offer the fee reduction to NOM Market Makers and Non-NOM Market Makers because the Exchange is offering this \$0.02 per contract fee discount to the Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity to continue to incentivize NOM Participants to select NOM as a venue to send Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-

Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker order flow. Participants may send either Penny or Non-Penny Pilot Options to qualify for the discount.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to permit NOM Participants with 75 percent common ownership to aggregate their volume for purposes of obtaining the fee discount because certain NOM Participants chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities for purposes of conducting business. The Exchange believes that these NOM Participants should be treated as one entity for purposes of qualifying for the discounted Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options, as long as there is at least 75% Common Ownership or control among the NOM Participants. The Exchange also believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to offer a \$0.02 per contract reduced Penny Pilot Option Fee for Removing Liquidity to Non-NOM Market Makers and NOM Market Makers for transactions in which the same NOM Participant or a NOM Participant under Common Ownership is the buyer and the seller. NOM Participants that chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities should still be afforded the opportunity to receive the discount as if they were the same NOM Participant on both sides of the transaction.

It is important to note that NOM Participants are unaware at the time the order is entered of the identity of the contra-party. Because contra-parties are anonymous, the Exchange believes that NOM Participants would aggressively pursue order flow in order to receive the benefit of the reduction. NOM Participants would only receive the incentive if they interact with their own order flow, recognizing Common Ownership where applicable. Offering the additional fee reduction is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because Participants would be entitled to receive the fee reduction only when the Participant is both the buyer and seller. By way of example, if a NOM Participant that is assigned the firm code<sup>16</sup> "ABC" by the Exchange posted an order utilizing its Customer order router, and the order was removed by an ABC NOM Market Maker order, the NOM Participant would receive the \$0.02 per contract fee reduction for that trade (\$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract). The Exchange proposes to utilize the Exchange assigned firm code to determine which NOM Participant executed an order and to apply the fee reduction to the Non-NOM Market Maker or NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Option Fee for Removing Liquidity if the same NOM Participant was the buyer and the seller to a transaction.<sup>17</sup> This concept is not novel. Today NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx") assesses a Firm Floor Options Transaction Charge based on which side of the transaction the member represents as well whether the same member or its affiliates under Common Ownership was represented.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Each NOM Participant is assigned a firm code by the Exchange.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In this example, the same Participant that added and removed the order would be entitled to the fee reduction because the NOM Participant was the buyer and seller on the transaction.

The Firm Floor Options Transaction Charges will be waived for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members are trading in their own proprietary account (including Cabinet Options Transaction Charges). The Firm Floor Options Transaction Charges will be waived for the buy side of a transaction if the same member or its affiliates under Common Ownership represents both sides of a Firm transaction when such members are trading in their own proprietary account. In addition, the Broker-Dealer Floor Options Transaction Charge (including Cabinet Options Transaction Charges) will be waived for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members would otherwise incur this charge for trading in their own proprietary account contra to a Customer ("BD-Customer Facilitation"), if the member's BD-Customer Facilitation average daily volume (including both FLEX and non-FLEX transactions) exceeds 10,000 contracts per day in a given month. See Phlx's Pricing Schedule.

Finally, the Exchange's proposal to count all order flow (Penny and Non-Penny Pilot Options) toward the 1.30% requisite volume, except for NOM Market Maker order flow is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because NOM Market Makers are entitled to rebates today similar to Customers and Professionals. Customer volume is important because it continues to attract liquidity to the Exchange, which benefits all market participants. Further, with respect to Professional liquidity, the Exchange initially established Professional pricing in order to "...bring additional revenue to the Exchange."<sup>19</sup> The Exchange noted in the Professional Filing that it believes "...that the increased revenue from the proposal would assist the Exchange to recoup fixed costs."<sup>20</sup> Further, the Exchange noted in that filing that it believes that establishing separate pricing for a Professional, which ranges between that of a Customer and market maker, accomplishes this objective.<sup>21</sup> The Exchange offers NOM Market Makers rebates in acknowledgment of the obligations<sup>22</sup> these Participants bear in the market. The Exchange believes that it is not necessary to count NOM Market Maker

- <sup>20</sup> <u>See</u> Professional Filing.
- <sup>21</sup> <u>See</u> Professional Filing. The Exchange also in the Professional Filing that it believes the role of the retail Customer in the marketplace is distinct from that of the Professional and the Exchange's fee proposal at that time accounted for this distinction by pricing each market participant according to their roles and obligations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 64494 (May 13, 2011), 76 FR 29014 (May 19, 2011) (SR-NASDAQ-2011-066) ("Professional Filing"). In this filing, the Exchange addressed the perceived favorable pricing of Professionals who were assessed fees and paid rebates like a Customer prior to the filing. The Exchange noted in that filing that a Professional, unlike a retail Customer, has access to sophisticated trading systems that contain functionality not available to retail Customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> <u>See note 15.</u>

volume toward the volume to qualify for the fee reduction because that volume is counted toward the qualifiers for the NOM Market Maker rebates.

#### 4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the continuation of the proposed amendments to NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity do not impose an undue burden on inter-market competition because the Exchange's execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition.

The Exchange's proposal to incentivize Participants by continuing to offer the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant adds 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM

Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and the Participant is (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant removes liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership does not create an undue burden on intramarket competition because NOM Market Makers have obligations to the market and regulatory requirements, which normally do not apply to other market participants.<sup>23</sup> Offering the fee discount to Non-NOM Market Makers provides Participants with flexibility in the manner in which they are submitting their orders. Non-NOM Market Makers have obligations on other exchanges to qualify as a market maker. Also, the Exchange believes that market makers not registered on NOM will be encouraged to send orders to NOM as an away market maker (Non-NOM Market Maker) with this incentive. Because the incentive is being offered to both market makers registered on NOM and those not registered on NOM, the Exchange believes that the proposal does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because it encourages market makers to direct liquidity to NOM to the benefit of all Participants.

The Exchange believes that permitting NOM Participants with 75 percent common ownership to aggregate their volume for purposes of obtaining the fee discount does not create an undue burden on intra-market competition because certain NOM Participants chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities for purposes of conducting business. NOM Participants that chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities should still be afforded the opportunity to receive the discount as if they were the same NOM Participant on both sides of the transaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> <u>See note 15.</u>

Participants would be entitled to receive the fee reduction when the Participant is both the buyer and seller and therefore this qualifier does not create an undue burden on intra-market competition. NOM Participants are unaware at the time the order is entered of the identity of the contra-party, therefore, since contra-parties are anonymous, the Exchange believes that NOM Participants would aggressively pursue order flow in order to receive the benefit of the reduction, to the benefit of all Participants.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to count all order flow toward the 1.30% requisite volume, except for NOM Market Maker order flow does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange believes it is not necessary to count NOM Market Maker volume in qualifying for the fee discount as that volume is counted toward qualifying for NOM Market Maker rebates.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule</u> <u>Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

- <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u> Not applicable.
- 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated</u> Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>24</sup> The Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

<sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the

Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization</u> or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory

organization or of the Commission.

# Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act Not applicable.

## 10. <u>Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and</u> <u>Settlement Supervision Act</u>

Not applicable.

## 11. Exhibits

- 1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>.
- 5. Applicable portion of the Exchange's rule text.

### **EXHIBIT 1**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2016-012)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to amend NOM Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup>, and

Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on January 28, 2016, The NASDAQ

Stock Market LLC ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III,

below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing

this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the</u> <u>Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter XV, entitled "Options Pricing," at Section 2, which governs pricing for Exchange members using the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM"), the Exchange's facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options.

While changes to the Pricing Schedule pursuant to this proposal are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated these changes to be operative on February 1, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at <u>http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com</u>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

## A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

1. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes certain amendments to the NOM transaction fees set forth at Chapter XV, Section 2 for executing and routing standardized equity and index options under the Penny Pilot Options program. The Exchange desires to continue to offer an incentive to NOM Participants to add an even greater amount of liquidity to NOM. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to continue to incentivize Participants by continuing to offer the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker<sup>3</sup> and Non-NOM Market Maker<sup>4</sup> Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The term "NOM Market Maker" is a Participant that has registered as a Market Maker on NOM pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 2, and must also remain in good standing pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 4. In order to receive NOM Market Maker pricing in all securities, the Participant must be registered as a NOM Market Maker in at least one security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The term "Non-NOM Market Maker" is a registered market maker on another options exchange that is not a NOM Market Maker. A Non-NOM Market Maker

contract, provided the Participant adds 1.30% of Customer,<sup>5</sup> Professional,<sup>6</sup> Firm,<sup>7</sup> Broker-Dealer<sup>8</sup> or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and the Participant is (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant removes liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership.<sup>9</sup>

The Exchange is removing the current date range, January 11, 2016 through January 26, 2016, so the Exchange may continue to offer this incentive going forward. For purposes of clarity, the Exchange proposes to add rule text to make clear that Participants that add 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity *in either Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options* may qualify for the incentive. Also, the Exchange proposes to clarify that the

must append the proper Non-NOM Market Maker designation to orders routed to NOM.

- <sup>5</sup> The term "Customer" or ("C") applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Customer range at The Options Clearing Corporation which is not for the account of broker or dealer or for the account of a "Professional" (as that term is defined in Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48)).
- <sup>6</sup> The term "Professional" or ("P") means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s) pursuant to Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48). All Professional orders shall be appropriately marked by Participants.
- The term "Firm" or ("F") applies to any transaction that is identified by a
   Participant for clearing in the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation.
- <sup>8</sup> The term "Broker-Dealer" or ("B") applies to any transaction which is not subject to any of the other transaction fees applicable within a particular category.
- <sup>9</sup> The term "Common Ownership" shall mean Participants under 75% common ownership or control. Common Ownership shall apply to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2 for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing.

1.30% applies to *total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month*. While the Exchange believes that there is no confusion among market participants as to the qualifying volume for this incentive, the Exchange proposes to add this rule text language for clarity.

#### 2. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6 of the Act,<sup>10</sup> in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. Attracting order flow to the Exchange benefits all Participants who have the opportunity to interact with this order flow.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' ... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

dealers'...."<sup>12</sup> Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets and this proposal is consistent with those views in that it is a price cut driven by competition.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to incentivize Participants to send order flow to NOM by offering the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant qualifies for the incentive,<sup>13</sup> is reasonable because the Exchange believes NOM will continue to attract a greater amount of order flow by offering this discounted rate. The Exchange believes that this additional fee reduction for Non-NOM Market Makers and NOM Market Makers should further incentivize Participants to add liquidity in Penny Pilot Options on NOM to obtain the discounted rate going forward.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to incentivize Participants to send order flow to NOM by offering the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant qualifies for the incentive,<sup>14</sup> is equitable and

Id. at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21) at 73 FR at 74782-74783).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Participants are required to add 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month <u>and</u> the Participant must be (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant must remove liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership.

not unfairly discriminatory for the reasons which follow. NOM Market Makers have obligations to the market and regulatory requirements, which normally do not apply to other market participants.<sup>15</sup> A NOM Market Maker has the obligation, for example, to make continuous markets, engage in a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with a course of dealings. The proposed differentiation as between NOM Market Makers and other market participants recognizes the differing contributions made to the trading environment on the Exchange by NOM Market Makers. For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that NOM Market Makers are entitled to discounted fees, provided they qualify for the discount. The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to offer the fee discount to Non-NOM Market Makers because the Exchange is offering Participants flexibility in the manner in which they are submitting their orders. Non-NOM Market Makers have obligations on other exchanges to qualify as a market maker. Also, the Exchange believes that market makers not registered on NOM will be encouraged to send orders to NOM as an away market maker (Non-NOM Market Maker) with this incentive. Because the incentive is being offered to both market makers registered on NOM and those not registered on NOM, the Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable and not unfairly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Pursuant to Chapter VII (Market Participants), Section 5 (Obligations of Market Makers), in registering as a market maker, an Options Participant commits himself to various obligations. Transactions of a Market Maker in its market making capacity must constitute a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and Market Makers should not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with such course of dealings. Further, all Market Makers are designated as specialists on NOM for all purposes under the Act or rules thereunder. <u>See</u> Chapter VII, Section 5.

discriminatory because it encourages market makers to direct liquidity to NOM to the benefit of all Participants. This proposal recognizes the overall contributions made by market makers to a listed options market.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to only offer the fee reduction to NOM Market Makers and Non-NOM Market Makers because the Exchange is offering this \$0.02 per contract fee discount to the Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity to continue to incentivize NOM Participants to select NOM as a venue to send Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker order flow. Participants may send either Penny or Non-Penny Pilot Options to qualify for the discount.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to permit NOM Participants with 75 percent common ownership to aggregate their volume for purposes of obtaining the fee discount because certain NOM Participants chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities for purposes of conducting business. The Exchange believes that these NOM Participants should be treated as one entity for purposes of qualifying for the discounted Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options, as long as there is at least 75% Common Ownership or control among the NOM Participants. The Exchange also believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to offer a \$0.02 per contract reduced Penny Pilot Option Fee for Removing Liquidity to Non-NOM Market Makers and NOM Market Makers for transactions in which the same NOM Participant or a NOM Participant under Common Ownership is the buyer and the seller. NOM Participants that chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities should still be afforded the

opportunity to receive the discount as if they were the same NOM Participant on both sides of the transaction.

It is important to note that NOM Participants are unaware at the time the order is entered of the identity of the contra-party. Because contra-parties are anonymous, the Exchange believes that NOM Participants would aggressively pursue order flow in order to receive the benefit of the reduction. NOM Participants would only receive the incentive if they interact with their own order flow, recognizing Common Ownership where applicable. Offering the additional fee reduction is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because Participants would be entitled to receive the fee reduction only when the Participant is both the buyer and seller. By way of example, if a NOM Participant that is assigned the firm code<sup>16</sup> "ABC" by the Exchange posted an order utilizing its Customer order router, and the order was removed by an ABC NOM Market Maker order, the NOM Participant would receive the \$0.02 per contract fee reduction for that trade (\$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract). The Exchange proposes to utilize the Exchange assigned firm code to determine which NOM Participant executed an order and to apply the fee reduction to the Non-NOM Market Maker or NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Option Fee for Removing Liquidity if the same NOM Participant was the buyer and the seller to a transaction.<sup>17</sup> This concept is not novel. Today NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx") assesses a Firm Floor Options Transaction Charge based on which side of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Each NOM Participant is assigned a firm code by the Exchange.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In this example, the same Participant that added and removed the order would be entitled to the fee reduction because the NOM Participant was the buyer and seller on the transaction.

transaction the member represents as well whether the same member or its affiliates under Common Ownership was represented.<sup>18</sup>

Finally, the Exchange's proposal to count all order flow (Penny and Non-Penny Pilot Options) toward the 1.30% requisite volume, except for NOM Market Maker order flow is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because NOM Market Makers are entitled to rebates today similar to Customers and Professionals. Customer volume is important because it continues to attract liquidity to the Exchange, which benefits all market participants. Further, with respect to Professional liquidity, the Exchange initially established Professional pricing in order to "…bring additional revenue to the Exchange."<sup>19</sup> The Exchange noted in the Professional Filing that it believes "…that the increased revenue from the proposal would assist the Exchange to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The Firm Floor Options Transaction Charges will be waived for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members are trading in their own proprietary account (including Cabinet Options Transaction Charges). The Firm Floor Options Transaction Charges will be waived for the buy side of a transaction if the same member or its affiliates under Common Ownership represents both sides of a Firm transaction when such members are trading in their own proprietary account. In addition, the Broker-Dealer Floor Options Transaction Charge (including Cabinet Options Transaction Charges) will be waived for members executing facilitation orders pursuant to Exchange Rule 1064 when such members would otherwise incur this charge for trading in their own proprietary account contra to a Customer ("BD-Customer Facilitation"), if the member's BD-Customer Facilitation average daily volume (including both FLEX and non-FLEX transactions) exceeds 10,000 contracts per day in a given month. See Phlx's Pricing Schedule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 64494 (May 13, 2011), 76 FR 29014 (May 19, 2011) (SR-NASDAQ-2011-066) ("Professional Filing"). In this filing, the Exchange addressed the perceived favorable pricing of Professionals who were assessed fees and paid rebates like a Customer prior to the filing. The Exchange noted in that filing that a Professional, unlike a retail Customer, has access to sophisticated trading systems that contain functionality not available to retail Customers.

recoup fixed costs."<sup>20</sup> Further, the Exchange noted in that filing that it believes that establishing separate pricing for a Professional, which ranges between that of a Customer and market maker, accomplishes this objective.<sup>21</sup> The Exchange offers NOM Market Makers rebates in acknowledgment of the obligations<sup>22</sup> these Participants bear in the market. The Exchange believes that it is not necessary to count NOM Market Maker volume toward the volume to qualify for the fee reduction because that volume is counted toward the qualifiers for the NOM Market Maker rebates.

#### B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> <u>See</u> Professional Filing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> <u>See</u> Professional Filing. The Exchange also in the Professional Filing that it believes the role of the retail Customer in the marketplace is distinct from that of the Professional and the Exchange's fee proposal at that time accounted for this distinction by pricing each market participant according to their roles and obligations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> <u>See note 15.</u>

practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the continuation of the proposed amendments to NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity do not impose an undue burden on inter-market competition because the Exchange's execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition.

The Exchange's proposal to incentivize Participants by continuing to offer the opportunity to reduce the NOM Market Maker and Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fees for Removing Liquidity from \$0.50 to \$0.48 per contract, provided the Participant adds 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and the Participant is (i) both the buyer and seller or (ii) the Participant removes liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership does not create an undue burden on intramarket competition because NOM Market Makers have obligations to the market and regulatory requirements, which normally do not apply to other market participants.<sup>23</sup> Offering the fee discount to Non-NOM Market Makers provides Participants with flexibility in the manner in which they are submitting their orders. Non-NOM Market Makers have obligations on other exchanges to qualify as a market maker. Also, the Exchange believes that market makers not registered on NOM will be encouraged to send orders to NOM as an away market maker (Non-NOM Market Maker) with this incentive. Because the incentive is being offered to both market makers registered on NOM and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> <u>See note 15.</u>

those not registered on NOM, the Exchange believes that the proposal does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because it encourages market makers to direct liquidity to NOM to the benefit of all Participants.

The Exchange believes that permitting NOM Participants with 75 percent common ownership to aggregate their volume for purposes of obtaining the fee discount does not create an undue burden on intra-market competition because certain NOM Participants chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities for purposes of conducting business. NOM Participants that chose to segregate their businesses into different legal entities should still be afforded the opportunity to receive the discount as if they were the same NOM Participant on both sides of the transaction.

Participants would be entitled to receive the fee reduction when the Participant is both the buyer and seller and therefore this qualifier does not create an undue burden on intra-market competition. NOM Participants are unaware at the time the order is entered of the identity of the contra-party, therefore, since contra-parties are anonymous, the Exchange believes that NOM Participants would aggressively pursue order flow in order to receive the benefit of the reduction, to the benefit of all Participants.

The Exchange's proposal to continue to count all order flow toward the 1.30% requisite volume, except for NOM Market Maker order flow does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange believes it is not necessary to count NOM Market Maker volume in qualifying for the fee discount as that volume is counted toward qualifying for NOM Market Maker rebates.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>24</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<u>http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);</u> or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov.</u> Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-012 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

<sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Page 29 of 34

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-012. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2016-012 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>25</sup>

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

<sup>25</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

## **EXHIBIT 5**

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

#### NASDAQ Stock Market Rules

\* \* \* \* \*

**Chapter XV Options Pricing** 

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Sec. 2 NASDAQ Options Market—Fees and Rebates

The following charges shall apply to the use of the order execution and routing services of the NASDAQ Options Market for all securities.

(1) Fees for Execution of Contracts on the NASDAQ Options Market

	rees	and Repates (	Jer execu	neu contract)		
	Customer	Professional	Firm	Non-NOM Market Maker	NOM Market Maker	Broker- Dealer
Penny Pilot Opt	ions:					
Rebate to Add Liquidity	***	***	\$0.10	\$0.10	#	\$0.10
Fee for Removing Liquidity	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.50 <sup>2</sup>	\$0.50 <sup>2</sup>	\$0.50
Non-Penny Pilo	t Options:					
Fee for Adding Liquidity	N/A	\$0.45	\$0.45	\$0.45	\$0.35	\$0.45
Fee for Removing Liquidity	\$0.85	\$1.10 <sup>3</sup>	\$1.10 <sup>3</sup>	\$1.10 <sup>3</sup>	\$1.10 <sup>3,4</sup>	\$1.10 <sup>3</sup>
Rebate to Add Liquidity	\$0.80 <sup>1</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

## Fees and Rebates (per executed contract)

<sup>1</sup>A Participant that qualifies for Customer or Professional Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity Tiers 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 in a month will receive an additional \$0.10 per contract Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity for each transaction which adds liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Options in that month. A Participant that qualifies for Customer or Professional Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity Tiers 7 or 8 in a month will receive an additional \$0.20 per contract Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity for each transaction which adds liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Options in that month. <sup>2</sup> Participants that add 1.30% of Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer or Non-NOM Market Maker liquidity <u>in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of total industry</u> <u>customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month</u> [from January 11, 2016 through January 29, 2016] will be subject to the following pricing applicable to executions[ from January 11, 2016 through January 29, 2016]: a \$0.48 per contract Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity when the Participant is (i) both the buyer and the seller or (ii) the Participant removes liquidity from another Participant under Common Ownership.

<sup>3</sup>A Participant that qualifies for Customer or Professional Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity Tiers 7 or 8 in a month will be assessed a Non-Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity of \$1.03 per contract in that month.

<sup>4</sup>A Participant that qualifies for Customer or Professional Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity Tiers 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 in a month will be assessed a Non-Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity of \$1.08 per contract in that month.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The Customer and Professional Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options will be paid as noted below. To determine the applicable percentage of total industry customer equity and ETF option average daily volume, the Participant's Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Customer and/or Professional volume that adds liquidity will be included.

Monthly Volume	Rebate to Add Liquidity
<ul> <li>Tier Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM</li> <li>Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of up to 0.10% of total industry customer equity and ETF option average daily volume ("ADV") contracts per day in a month</li> </ul>	\$0.20
<ul> <li>Tier Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM</li> <li>Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.10% to 0.20% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month</li> </ul>	\$0.25
<ul> <li>Tier Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM</li> <li>Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.20% to 0.30% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month</li> </ul>	\$0.42
<ul> <li>Tier Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM</li> <li>Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.30% to 0.40% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month</li> </ul>	\$0.43
Tier Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM	\$0.45

5 <sup>a</sup> Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.40% to 0.75% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month, or Participant adds (1) Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of 25,000 or more contracts per day in a month, (2) the Participant has certified for the Investor Support Program set forth in Rule 7014, and (3) the Participant executed at least one order on NASDAQ's equity market

Tier Participant has Total Volume of 100,000 or more contracts per \$0.45

6<sup>b</sup> day in a month, of which 25,000 or more contracts per day in a month must be Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options

Tier Participant has Total Volume of 150,000 or more contracts per \$0.47

7 <sup>b</sup> day in a month, of which 50,000 or more contracts per day in a month must be Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options

**Tier** Participant adds Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM \$0.48<sup> c</sup>

 8 <sup>a</sup> Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.75% or more of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month or Participant adds (1) Customer and/or Professional liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of 30,000 or more contracts per day in a month and (2) the Participant has certified for the Investor Support Program set forth in Rule 7014

<sup>a</sup>For purposes of Tiers 5 and 8, the Exchange will allow a NOM Participant to qualify for the rebate if a NASDAQ member has certified for the Investor Support Program and executed at least one order on NASDAQ's equity market.

<sup>b</sup>For purposes of Tiers 6 and 7, "Total Volume" shall be defined as Customer, Professional, Firm, Broker-Dealer, Non-NOM Market Maker and NOM Market Maker volume in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options which either adds or removes liquidity on NOM.

<sup>c</sup> Participants that: (1) add Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non- Penny Pilot Options of 1.15% or more of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month will receive an additional \$0.02 per contract Penny Pilot Options Customer Rebate to Add Liquidity for each transaction which adds liquidity in Penny Pilot Options in that month; or (2) add Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of 1.30% or more of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month will receive an additional \$0.05 per contract Penny Pilot Options Customer Rebate to Add Liquidity for each transaction which adds liquidity in Penny Pilot Options in that month; or (3) (a) add Customer, Professional, Firm, Non-NOM Market Maker and/or Broker-Dealer liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.75% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and (b) has added liquidity in all securities through one or more of its Nasdaq Market Center MPIDs that represent 1.10% or more of Consolidated Volume in a month will receive an additional \$0.03 per contract Penny Pilot Options Customer Rebate to Add Liquidity for each transaction which adds liquidity in Penny Pilot Options in a month. Consolidated Volume shall mean the total consolidated volume reported to all consolidated transaction reporting plans by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities during a month in equity securities, excluding executed orders with a size of less than one round lot. For purposes of calculating Consolidated Volume and the extent of an equity member's trading activity, expressed as a percentage of or ratio to Consolidated Volume, the date of the annual reconstitution of the Russell Investments Indexes shall be excluded from both total Consolidated Volume and the member's trading activity.

Monthly Volume		Rebate to Add Liquidity
Tier 1	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of up to 0.10% of total industry customer equity and ETF option average daily volume ("ADV") contracts per day in a month	\$0.20
Tier 2	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.10% to 0.25% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month	\$0.25
Tier 3	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.25% to 0.60% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month	\$0.30 or \$0.40 in the following symbols AAPL, QQQ, IWM, SPY and VXX
Tier 4	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of above 0.60% to 0.90% of total industry	\$0.32 or \$0.40 in the following symbols AAPL,

# The NOM Market Maker Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options will be paid as noted below.

	customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month	QQQ, IWM, VXX and SPY
Tier 5	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options of above 0.30% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and qualifies for the Tier 7 or Tier 8 Customer and/or Professional Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options	\$0.40
Tier 6	Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.80% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month and qualifies for the Tier 7 or Tier 8 Customer and/or Professional Rebate to Add Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options or Participant adds NOM Market Maker liquidity in Penny Pilot Options and/or Non-Penny Pilot Options above 0.90% of total industry customer equity and ETF option ADV contracts per day in a month	\$0.42

(2) – (6) No Change.

\* \* \* \* \*