declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant currently has fewer than 10 beneficial owners and will continue to operate as a private investment fund in reliance on section 3(c)(1) of the Act.

**Filing Dates:** The application was filed on November 18, 2015, and amended on November 19, 2015 and November 20, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** c/o U.S. Bank National Association, One Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110.

**Ramius IDF LLC [File No. 811–22494]**

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has never made a public offering of securities and does not propose to make a public offering. Applicant will continue to operate as a private investment fund in reliance on section 3(c)(7) of the Act.

**Filing Dates:** The application was filed on November 19, 2015, and amended on December 3, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** 830 Third Avenue, 4th Floor, New York, New York 10022.

**Ramius IDF Master Fund LLC [File No. 811–22493]**

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has never made a public offering of securities and does not propose to make a public offering. Applicant will continue to operate as a private investment fund in reliance on section 3(c)(7) of the Act.

**Filing Dates:** The application was filed on November 19, 2015, and amended on December 3, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** 830 Third Avenue, 4th Floor, New York, New York 10022.

**GMAM Absolute Return Strategies Fund, LLC [File No. 811–21259]**

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant is not presently making an offering of securities and does not propose to make any offering of securities. Applicant will continue to operate as a private investment fund in reliance on section 3(c)(7) of the Act.

**Filing Date:** The application was filed on November 20, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** 1345 Avenue of the Americas, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10015.

**Outlook Funds Trust [File No. 811–22909]**

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On November 13, 2015, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of approximately $3,378 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant’s investment adviser.

**Filing Date:** The application was filed on November 25, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** Three Canal Plaza, Suite 600, Portland, ME 04101.

**Morgan Stanley Eastern Europe Fund, Inc. [File No. 811–08346]**

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On March 20, 2015, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Applicant has nine uncashed distribution checks that are being held by applicant’s transfer agent until these shareholders are located or until a period specified by state law. Expenses of $53,897 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant.

**Filing Date:** The application was filed on December 3, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** c/o Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc., 522 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10036.

**ING Mayflower Trust [File No. 811–07978]**

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant transferred its assets to Voya Global Value Advantage Fund, and on July 13, 2013, made a final distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of $250,950 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant’s investment adviser.

**Filing Date:** The application was filed on February 2, 2015, and amended on August 12, 2015 and December 18, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** 7337 E. Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, AZ 85258.

**Hatteras Global Private Equity Partners Institutional, LLC [File No. 811–22257]**

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant currently has fewer than 100 holders of its securities, and is not presently making, has never made, and does not propose to make a public offering of securities. Applicant will continue to operate as a private investment fund in reliance on section 3(c)(1) of the Act.

**Filing Date:** The application was filed on December 21, 2015.

**Applicant’s Address:** 6601 Six Forks Road, Suite 340, Raleigh, North Carolina 27615.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–32823 Filed 12–29–15; 8:45 am]
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 17, 2015, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter XV, entitled “Options Pricing,” at Section 2, which governs pricing for Exchange members using the NASDAQ Options Market (“NOM”), the Exchange’s facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options.

The Exchange purports to lower the Non-NOM Market Maker 3 Penny Pilot Options 4 Fee for Removing Liquidity

---

3 A “Non-NOM Market Maker” is a registered market maker on another options exchange that is not a NOM Market Maker. A Non-NOM Market Maker must append the proper Non-NOM Market Maker designation to orders routed to NOM.
for options overlying iShares MSCI Emerging Markets ("EEM"), SPDR Gold Shares ("GLD"), iShares Russell 2000 ETF ("IWM"), PowerShares QQQ ("QQQ"), and SPDR S&P 500 ("SPY") from $0.55 to $0.50 per contract. While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the amendments [sic] become operative on January 4, 2016. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to lower the Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity in options overlying EEM, GLD, IWM, QQQ, and SPY from $0.55 to $0.50 per contract. The details of this proposal are below.

Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange proposes, beginning January 4, 2016, to decrease the Non-NOM Market Maker Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options from $0.55 to $0.50 per contract for options overlying EEM, GLD, IWM, QQQ, and SPY. The Exchange notes that the Fees for Removing Liquidity for other Participants in Penny Pilot Options will remain the same, at $0.050 per contract. The Exchange believes that lowering this fee may encourage additional order flow to be directed to NOM for options overlying EEM, GLD, IWM, QQQ, and SPY.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78f, in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, for example, the Commission indicated that market forces should generally determine the price of non-core market data because national market system regulation “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.” 7 Likewise, in NetCoalition v. NYSE Arca, Inc.8 ("NetCoalition") the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission’s use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-based approach.9 As the court emphasized, the Commission “intended in Regulation NMS that ‘market forces, rather than regulatory requirements’ play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost.” 10

Further, “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’” . . . As the SEC explained, “[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution”; and “[n]o exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’.” 11 Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange’s proposal to decrease the Non-NOM Market Maker Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options from $0.55 to $0.50 per contract for options overlying EEM, GLD, IWM, QQQ, and SPY is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Participants will be assessed a $0.50 per contract Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options for all options transacted on NOM.

8 NetCoalition v. NYSE Arca, Inc., 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).
9 Id. at 534.
10 Id. at 533 (quoting ArcaBook Order, 73 FR at 74782–74783).
12 5 U.S.C. 78o(b)(4) and (5).
B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In this instance, the proposed change to fees assessed to Participants for execution of securities does not impose a burden on competition because the Exchange’s execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition both from other exchanges and from off-exchange venues.

Non-NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Fee for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange’s proposal to decrease the Non-NOM Market Maker Options Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options from $0.55 to $0.50 per contract for options overlying EEM, GLD, IWM, QQQ, and SPY does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange will assess all Participants the same Fee for Removing Liquidity in Penny Pilot Options.

In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of Participants or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ–2015–155 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ–2015–155 on the subject line.

Copies of all comments, public statements of the Commission, and all other written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2015–155 and should be submitted on or before January 20, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–32895 Filed 12–29–15; 8:45 am]
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December 23, 2015.

I. Introduction

On April 24, 2015, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act” or “Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change consisting of proposed new Rule G–42, on duties of non-solicitor municipal advisors, and proposed amendments to Rule G–8, on books and records to be made by brokers, dealers, municipal securities dealers, and

---