SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to NOM Market Maker Requirements

August 26, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on August 21, 2014, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASDAQ. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASDAQ proposes to amend Chapter VII (Market Participants) at Section 6 (Market Maker Quotations) on The NASDAQ Options Market (“NOM”). NASDAQ’s facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options.

The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed new language is italicized; proposed deletions are in brackets.

Chapter VII Market Participants

Sec. 6 Market Maker Quotations

(a)–(c) No change.

(d) Continuous Quotes. A Market Maker must enter continuous bids and offers for the options to which it is registered, as follows:

1. On a daily basis, a Market Maker must during regular market hours make markets consistent with the applicable quoting requirements specified in these rules, on a continuous basis [in at least sixty percent (60%) of the series] in options in which the Market Maker is registered.

1 To satisfy this requirement [with respect to quoting a series], a Market Maker must quote [such series 90%60%] of the trading day (as a percentage of the total number of minutes in such trading day) or such higher percentage as Nasdaq may announce in advance. Nasdaq Regulation may consider exceptions to the requirement to quote [90%60%] or higher of the trading day based on demonstrated legal or regulatory requirements or other mitigating circumstances. This obligation will apply to all of a Market Maker’s registered options collectively to all appointed issues, rather than on an option-by-option basis. Compliance with this obligation will be determined on a monthly basis. However, determining compliance with the continuous quoting requirement on a monthly basis does not relieve a Market Maker of the obligation to provide continuous two-sided quotes on a daily basis, nor will it prohibit the Exchange from taking disciplinary action against a Market Maker for failing to meet the continuous quoting obligation each trading day.

(2) and (3) No change.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend quoting obligations applicable to NOM Market Makers. Currently, Chapter VII, Section 6(d) provides that on a daily basis, a Market Maker must during regular market hours make markets consistent with the applicable quoting requirements specified in these rules, on a continuous basis in at least sixty percent (60%) of the series in options in which the Market Maker is registered. It further provides that, to satisfy this requirement with respect to quoting a series, a Market Maker must quote such series 90% of the trading day (as a percentage of the total number of minutes in such trading day) or such higher percentage as

as the Exchange may announce in advance. Nasdaq Regulation may consider exceptions to the requirement to quote 90% (or higher) of the trading day based on demonstrated legal or regulatory requirements or other mitigating circumstances.

The Exchange proposes to better align its Market Maker quoting requirement with that of NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (“BX”). BX recently amended its market maker quoting requirements in a similar manner. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to reduce the quoting requirement for NOM Market Makers as follows: A Market Maker must quote such options 60% of the trading day (as a percentage of the total number of minutes in such trading day) or such higher percentage as NOM may announce in advance. Nasdaq Regulation may consider exceptions to the requirement to quote 60% (or higher) of the trading day based on demonstrated legal or regulatory requirements or other mitigating circumstances. This obligation will apply to all of a Market Maker’s registered options collectively, rather than on an option-by-option basis. Compliance with this obligation will be determined on a monthly basis. This is the same requirement as on BX.4

The Exchange believes that this is appropriate for two reasons. First, the Exchange’s current Market Maker quoting requirement is much more stringent than BX.5 Quoting each series 90% of the trading day is much more stringent than looking at all options in which a Market Maker is registered, because it allows for some number of series not to be quoted at all, as long as the overall standard is met. This better accommodates the occasional issues that may arise in a particular series, whether technical or manual. The existing requirement may at times discourage liquidity in particular options series because a Market Maker is forced to focus on a momentary lapse rather than using the appropriate resources to focus on the options series that need and consume additional liquidity. The Exchange believes that it can better attract Market Makers to NOM and grow its market if its quoting obligation is more in line with that of other exchanges.

The Exchange believes that the amendments to Section 6(d)(j)(1) of Chapter VII, which would allow applying the quoting requirements for Market Makers collectively across all options classes, is a fair and more efficient way for the Exchange and market participants to evaluate compliance with the continuous quoting requirements. Applying the continuous quoting requirement collectively across all option classes rather than on an issue-by-issue basis is beneficial to Market Makers by providing some flexibility to choose which series in their appointed classes they will continuously quote—increasing the continuous quoting obligation in the series of one class to allow for a decrease in the continuous quoting obligation in the series of another class. This flexibility does not, however, diminish the Market Maker’s obligation to continuously quote a significant part of the trading day in a significant percentage of series. Flexibility is important for classes that have relatively few series and may prevent the Market Maker, in particular, from breaching the continuous quoting requirement when failing to meet the specified quote amount during the trading day (as proposed) in more than one series in an appointed class. Nasdaq Regulation may consider exceptions to the requirement to quote 60% (or higher) of the trading day based on demonstrated legal or regulatory requirements or other mitigating circumstances. This quoting obligation will apply to all of a Market Maker’s registered options collectively on a daily basis, rather than on an option-by-option basis. This quoting obligation will be reviewed on a monthly basis, and allows the Exchange to review the Market Makers’ daily compliance in the aggregate and determine the appropriate disciplinary action for single or multiple failures to comply with the continuous quoting requirement during the month period. However, determining compliance with the continuous quoting requirement on a monthly basis does not relieve a Market Maker of the obligation to provide continuous two-sided quotations 60% of the trading day (as a percentage of the total number of minutes in such trading day) or such higher percentage as the Exchange may announce in advance continues to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. NOM operates in an intensely competitive environment and seeks to offer the same services that its competitors offer and in which its customers find value.

The Exchange believes that requiring NOM Market Makers to provide continuous two-sided quotations 60% of the trading day (as a percentage of the total number of minutes in each trading day) or such higher percentage as the Exchange may announce in advance continues to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities. Further, the Exchange would apply the propose rule change to all of a Market Maker’s registered options collectively to all appointed issues, rather than on an option-by-option basis and compliance with this obligation will be determined on a monthly basis.

The proposal supports the quality of the Exchange’s market by helping to ensure that Market Makers will continue to be obligated to quote in series when necessary. Ultimately, the benefit the proposed rule change confers upon Market Makers is offset by the continued responsibilities to provide significant liquidity to the market to the benefit of market participants. While under the proposal there are quoting requirements changes, the Exchange

---

3 See BX Rules at Chapter VII, Section 6.  
4 See BX Rules at Chapter VII, Section 6.  
5 Id.  
6 See NYSE Arca Rule 6.37B(c) and NYSE MKT Rule 925.1NY(c).  
7 The proposed rule text is, as noted, similar in all material respects to BX Rules at Chapter VII, Section 6.  
does not believe that these changes reduce the overall obligations applicable to Market Makers. Moreover, the Exchange believes that the proposal may increase market making activity on the Exchange and the quality of the Exchange’s market by establishing quoting compliance standards that are reasonable and already in place on other options exchanges.

The proposed rule change also protects investors and the public interest by creating more uniformity and consistency among the Exchange’s rules related to NOM Market Maker quoting obligations. Providing Market Makers with flexibility by providing the continuous quoting obligation collectively across all option classes will not diminish the Market Makers’ obligation to continuously quote a significant part of the trading day in a significant percentage of series. Additionally, with respect to compliance standards, the Exchange believes that adopting the proposed standards will enhance compliance efforts by Market Makers and the Exchange, and are consistent with requirements currently in place on BX.

The proposed rule change also establishes quoting compliance standards for continuous quoting, in particular regarding quoting obligations applying to all of a Market Maker’s appointed issues collectively, will be the same on the Exchange as on other options exchanges. The Exchange believes that the proposal will not diminish and in fact may increase, market making activity on the Exchange by establishing quoting compliance standards that are reasonable and already in place on other options exchanges.

BX’s recent rule proposal lowered the BX Market Maker’s obligations in the same manner as proposed herein. BX also heightened its requirements with respect to Lead Market Makers (“LMM”) because LMM’s were also being offered certain participation entitlements that are not offered BX Market Markers that were not LMMs. In that filing, BX reasoned, “[t]he Exchange believes that offering LMMs participation entitlements promotes just and equitable principles of trade because LMMs will be held to a higher standard as compared to other market participants including Market Makers. A Market Maker would be required, pursuant to this proposal, to quote 60% of the trading day. LMMs are being held to a higher obligation and therefore are being rewarded with participation entitlements. Similar to Market Makers, LMMs add value through continuous quoting and the commitment of capital.”

Further, “[a]ccordingly, the proposed rule change supports the quality of the Exchange’s trading markets by helping to ensure that LMMs will be required to meet a higher quoting standard in order to reap the benefits of the participation entitlements.” The Exchange is not offering NOM Market Makers such participation entitlements as BX offers its LMMs.

Finally, the Exchange believes that subjecting NOM Market Makers to the same requirements as those offered on other exchanges removes impediments to and allows for a free and open market. NOM Market Makers would have the same requirements as BX Options Market Makers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Market Makers will be subject to quoting obligations which are similar to those at BX. The Exchange would apply to all of a Market Maker’s registered options collectively to all appointed issues, rather than on an option-by-option basis and compliance with this obligation will be determined on a monthly basis. Further, the Exchange believes that because this continuous quoting requirement on a monthly basis does not relieve an LMM of the obligation to provide continuous two-sided quotes on a daily basis, nor will it prohibit the Exchange from taking disciplinary action against an LMM for failing to meet the continuous quoting obligation each trading day.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:
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National Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 15.5 To Provide Additional Clarity and Precision, Correct Certain Citations, and Align the Rule With the Rules of Other Exchanges With Respect to the Original and Continued Listing Standards for Issuers’ Compensation Committees

August 26, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act” or “Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on August 12, 2014, National Stock Exchange, Inc. (“NSX” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change, as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comment on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend Rule 15.5 to conform with the provisions of Section 957 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”).3 Section 10C of the Exchange Act,4 Section 10C of the Act,5 and Rule 10C–1 promulgated pursuant thereto.6

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://www.nsx.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.8

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to amend Chapter XV, “Listed Securities and Other Exchange Products,” and specifically Rule 15.5, entitled “Other Listing Standards” to conform with Section 10C of the Exchange Act, as added by Section 952 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Section 10C requires the Commission to direct national securities exchanges and national securities associations to prohibit, with certain exceptions, the listing of any equity security of an issuer that does not comply with the Compensation Committee and compensation adviser requirements of Section 10C.7

Specifically, Section 10C(a)(1) of the Act requires the Commission to adopt rules directing exchanges to prohibit the listing of any equity security of an issuer, with certain exceptions, that does not comply with the requirements of Section 10C with respect to Compensation Committees and compensation adviser requirements.8

The Exchange adopted rules to align with the requirements of Section 10C of the Act and Rule 10C–1 thereunder in October 2012.9 The proposed amendments in the instant filing operate to provide further clarity and precision and correct certain citations, and align with the rules of other exchanges, with respect to the provisions of Rule 15.5(d)(5) that govern a listed issuer’s Compensation Committee. The rule proposal also adds new text to the

---

6 The Exchange notes that, as of the close of business on May 30, 2014, it ceased trading operations on its trading system. See Exchange Act Release No. 72107 (May 6, 2014), 79 FR 27017 (May 12, 2014) (SR–NSX–2014–14). The Exchange continues to be registered as a national securities exchange under Section 6 of the Exchange Act, and continues to retain its status as a self-regulatory organization. Prior to NSX ceasing trading operations, there were no NSX-listed securities and all securities traded on NSX on the basis of Unlisted Trading Privileges.
7 See Exchange Act Sections 10C(a) and (l).
8 Five categories of issuers are excluded from this requirement: Controlled companies, limited partnerships, companies in bankruptcy proceedings, open-end management investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “Investment Company Act”), and foreign private issuers that disclose in their annual reports the reasons why they do not have an independent compensation committee.