by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090. An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC's Secretary at the address below and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on October 22, 2013, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.


Claymore China Strategy Fund

[File No. 811–22124]

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has never made a public offering of its securities and does not propose to engage in any business activity other than those necessary for winding up its affairs.

Filing Date: The application was filed on September 17, 2013.

Applicant's Address: 2455 Corporate West Drive, Lisle, IL 60532.

Dominion Funds, Inc.

[File No. 811–6727]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On June 27, 2013, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of $2,970 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant and its investment adviser.

Filing Date: The application was filed on September 11, 2013.

Applicant's Address: c/o Fairfax Global Markets, LLC, 2 West Washington St., Middleburg, VA 20118.

Grosvenor Registered Multi-Strategy Fund (TE), LLC

[File No. 811–22354]

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant transferred its assets to Grosvenor Registered Multi-Strategy Fund (T2), LLC, and on January 1, 2013, made a distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Applicant has retained $24,109 in outstanding assets to pay off its outstanding liabilities. Expenses of $152,274 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by Grosvenor Registered Multi-Strategy Master Fund, LLC, applicant's master fund.

Filing Date: The application was filed on September 13, 2013.

Applicant's Address: 900 North Michigan Ave., Suite 1100, Chicago, IL 60611.

RiverSource Dimensions Series Inc.

[File No. 811–1629]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has transferred its assets to corresponding series of Columbia Funds Series Trust and Columbia Funds Series Trust I, and, on May 31, 2011, made a distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of $82,382 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant and applicant's investment adviser, Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on March 8, 2013, and amended on July 17, 2013, and September 11, 2013.

Applicant's Address: 901 Marquette Ave. South, Suite 2810, Minneapolis, MN 55402–3268.

Seligman Growth Fund, Inc.

[File No. 811–229]

Seligman LaSalle Real Estate Fund Series, Inc.

[File No. 811–21365]

Summary: Each applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicants transferred their assets to corresponding series of Columbia Fund Series Trust I, and on or prior to April 5, 2011, made final distributions to their shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of $729,844 and $77,689, respectively, incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicants and Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC, applicants’ investment adviser.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on September 10, 2013.

Applicants’ Address: 901 Marquette Avenue South, Suite 2810, Minneapolis, MN 55402–3268.

International Equity Portfolio/MA

[File No. 811–21867]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On April 21, 2011, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Applicant incurred no expenses in connection with the liquidation.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on November 30, 2012, and amended on September 20, 2013.

Applicant’s Address: Two International Place, Boston, MA 02110.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Kevin M. O’Neill, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–24222 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NASDAQ Listing Standards Related to Compliance Determinations for Market Value of Listed Securities and Market Value of Publicly-Held Shares Deficiencies

September 27, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on September 26, 2013, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NASDAQ listing standards related to compliance determinations for Market Value of Listed Securities and Market Value of Publicly-Held Shares deficiencies. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is italicized; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * * * *

5810. Notification of Deficiency by the Listing Qualifications Department

When the Listing Qualifications Department determines that a Company does not meet a listing standard set forth in the Rule 5000 Series, it will immediately notify the Company of the deficiency. As explained in more detail below, deficiency notifications are of four types:

(1) Staff Delisting Determinations, which are notifications of deficiencies that, unless appealed, subject the Company to immediate suspension and delisting;

(2) notifications of deficiencies for which a Company may submit a plan of compliance for staff review;

(3) notifications of deficiencies for which a Company is entitled to an automatic cure or compliance period; and

(4) Public Reprimand Letters. Notifications of deficiencies that allow for submission of a compliance plan or an automatic cure or compliance period may result, after review of the compliance plan or expiration of the cure or compliance period, in issuance of a Staff Delisting Determination or a Public Reprimand Letter.

(a)–(b) No change.

(c) Types of Deficiencies and Notifications

The type of deficiency at issue determines whether the Company will be immediately suspended and delisted, or whether it may submit a compliance plan for review or is entitled to an automatic cure or compliance period before a Staff Delisting Determination is issued. In the case of a deficiency not specified below, Staff will issue the Company a Staff Delisting Determination or a Public Reprimand Letter.

(1)–(2) No change.

(3) Deficiencies for which the Rules Provide a Specified Cure or Compliance Period

With respect to deficiencies related to the standards listed in (A)–(E) below, Staff’s notification will inform the Company of the applicable cure or compliance period provided by these Rules and discussed below. If the Company does not regain compliance within the specified cure or compliance period, the Listing Qualifications Department will immediately issue a Staff Delisting Determination letter.

(A)–(B) No change.

(C) Market Value of Listed Securities

A failure to meet the continued listing requirements for Market Value of Listed Securities shall be determined to exist only if the deficiency continues for a period of 30 consecutive business days. Upon such failure, the Company shall be notified promptly and shall have a period of 180 calendar days from such notification to achieve compliance. Compliance can be achieved by meeting the applicable standard for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days during the 180 day compliance period, unless Staff exercises its discretion to extend this 10 day period as discussed in Rule 5810(c)(3)(F).

(D) Market Value of Publicly Held Shares

A failure to meet the continued listing requirement for Market Value of Publicly Held Shares shall be determined to exist only if the deficiency continues for a period of 30 consecutive business days. Upon such failure, the Company shall be notified promptly and shall have a period of 180 calendar days from such notification to achieve compliance. Compliance can be achieved by meeting the applicable standard for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days during the 180 day compliance period, unless Staff exercises its discretion to extend this 10 day period as discussed in Rule 5810(c)(3)(F).

(E) No change.

(F) Staff Discretion Relating to the [Bid] Price-based Requirements

If a Company fails to meet the Market Value of Listed Securities, Market Value of Publicly Held Shares, or Bid Price requirements, each of which is related to the Company’s security price and collectively called the “Price-based Requirements,” compliance is generally achieved by meeting the requirement for a minimum of ten consecutive business days. However, Staff may, in its discretion, require a Company to maintain a bid price of at least $1.00 per share satisfy the applicable Price-based Requirement for a period in excess of ten consecutive business days, but generally no more than 20 consecutive business days, before determining that the Company has demonstrated an ability to maintain long-term compliance. In determining whether to require a Company to meet the [minimum $1.00 bid price standard] applicable Price-based-requirement beyond ten business days, Staff [will] may consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including without limitation the following four factors:

(i) the margin of compliance (the amount by which a Company exceeds the [bid price is above the $1.00 minimum standard] applicable Price-based Requirement);

(ii) the trading volume (a lack of trading volume may indicate a lack of bona fide market interest in the security at the posted bid price);

(iii) the Market Maker montage (the number of Market Makers quoting at or above $1.00 or the minimum price necessary to satisfy another Price-based Requirement; and the size of their quotes); and

(iv) the trend of the stock price (is it up or down).

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to increase transparency of the fact that NASDAQ Staff (‘‘Staff’’) may consider periods longer than ten days when evaluating whether a company has regained compliance with the minimum Market Value of Listed Securities (‘‘MVLS’’) and Market Value of Publicly-Held Shares (‘‘MVPHS’’) requirements, while also generally limiting such review to twenty days. Currently, NASDAQ Rules provide that compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS requirements ‘‘can be achieved by meeting the applicable standard for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days.’’ (emphasis added). As such,
while a company cannot regain compliance in a period less than ten days, the rule does not require Staff to limit its review for compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS requirements to exactly ten days. Further, Staff’s broad discretionary authority under Rule 5101 supports Staff’s consideration of a longer period when necessary.3

By contrast, Rule 5810(c)(3)(F) explicitly describes Staff’s discretion to extend the compliance period for a bid price deficiency beyond ten days (but generally not more than 20 days) and identifies factors for Staff to consider in making a decision to do so.4 In the ten years since adopting these factors,5 Staff has found them useful in determining whether to extend the bid price compliance period beyond ten days and thus typically uses these same factors, and, generally, the 20 day limit, when evaluating compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS requirements. The proposed change to Rule 5810(c)(3)(F) would describe this practice and thereby provide transparency to the manner in which Staff applies its existing discretion.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act6 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act7 in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change will add greater transparency to the rule administration process by permitting issuers to better understand how NASDAQ evaluates compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS listing rules. At the same time, it describes NASDAQ Staff discretion to apply a higher standard in determining which companies are suitable for continued listing on the exchange, thus protecting investors.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In this regard, NASDAQ notes that the competition among exchanges for listings is robust and vigorous, and the proposed rule change is not intended, nor is it expected, to reduce or diminish such competition. The rule brings added transparency to NASDAQ’s vigilant enforcement of the Listing Rules, which already allow NASDAQ Staff to use discretion to apply more stringent listing standards. However, it does not allow the Staff any discretion to apply diminished listing standards in order to attract or retain listing business. The proposed rule change offers NASDAQ no advantages over its competitors beyond those created by enhancing the Exchange’s regulatory effectiveness.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act8 and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.9 The proposed rule change will add greater transparency by clarifying how NASDAQ applies its existing authority to evaluate compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS listing rules for periods longer than ten consecutive business days. As such, given that the proposed change merely describes, and does not modify, NASDAQ’s authority to determine compliance with the MVLS and MVPHS requirements, it does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest and does not impose any significant burden on competition.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2013–128 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2013–128. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for
II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Commission has approved listing and trading on the Exchange of shares ("Shares") of the PowerShares China A-Share Portfolio, a series of PowerShares Actively Managed Exchange-Traded Trust (the “Trust”), under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600, which governs the listing and trading of Managed Fund Shares. Shares of the Fund have not commenced listing and trading on the Exchange.

The Shares are offered by the Trust, a statutory trust organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and registered with the Commission as an open-end management investment company. The investment advisor to the Fund will be Invesco PowerShares Capital Management LLC (the “Adviser”).

In this proposed rule change, the Exchange proposes to reflect changes to the description of the measures the Adviser will utilize to implement the Fund’s investment objective, as described below.6

First, the Prior Release stated that, under normal circumstances,7 the Fund generally will invest at least 80% of its net assets in a combination of investments whose collective performance is designed to correspond to the performance of the FTSE China A50 Index (the “Benchmark”). The Adviser now represents that, rather than being designed to correspond to the performance of the Benchmark, the Fund will seek to achieve its investment objective by providing exposure to the China “A-Shares” market using a quantitative, rules-based investment strategy. The Fund will be actively managed by the Adviser and will not be obligated to invest in the instruments included in the Benchmark or to track the performance of the Benchmark or of any index. However, although the Fund will seek to exceed the performance of the Benchmark, there can be no assurance that the Fund will do so at any time.

Second, the Prior Release stated that the Trust has filed a notice of eligibility for exclusion from the definition of the term “commodity pool operator” (“CPO”) in accordance with Rule 4.5 of the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”).8 As stated in the Prior Release, under amendments to Rule 4.5 adopted in February 2012, an investment adviser of a registered investment company may claim exclusion from registration as a CPO only if the registered investment company advises futures contracts solely for “bona fide hedging purposes” or limits its use of futures contracts for non-bona fide hedging purposes in specified ways. The Prior Release stated that, because the Fund did not expect to use futures contracts solely for “bona fide hedging purposes,” the Fund would be subject to rules that would require it to limit its use of positions in futures contracts in accordance with the requirements of amended Rule 4.5 unless the Adviser otherwise complies with CPO rules.

6 The changes described herein will be effective contingent upon effectiveness of the Trust’s most recent post-effective amendment to its Registration Statement. See note 5, supra. The Adviser represents that the Adviser will not implement the changes described herein until the instant proposed rule change is operative.

7 The term “under normal circumstances” includes, but is not limited to, the absence of: Extreme volatility or trading halts in the equity markets or the financial markets generally; operational issues causing dissemination of inaccurate market information; or force majeure type events such as systems failure, natural or man-made disaster, act of God, armed conflict, act of terrorism, riot or labor disruption or any similar intervening circumstance.

8 7 U.S.C. 1.