### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


**Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Schedule of Fees**

May 12, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 2, 2016, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (the "Exchange" or the "ISE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") a proposed rule change that has been approved by the Self-Regulatory Organization ("SRO") and becomes effective immediately.

#### Authority:


Beth F. Cobert,

*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc. 2016–11724 Filed 5–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency name</th>
<th>Organization name</th>
<th>Position title</th>
<th>Request number</th>
<th>Vacate date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Labor ..........</td>
<td>Office of the Deputy Secretary ...............</td>
<td>Senior Policy Advisor ................................</td>
<td>DL150059</td>
<td>02/20/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Personnel Management</td>
<td>Office of the Director .......................</td>
<td>Assistant Director, Office of Public Engagement</td>
<td>PM140028</td>
<td>02/20/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business Administration</td>
<td>Office of Communications and Public Liaison.</td>
<td>Deputy Assistant Administrator for Communications and Public Liaison.</td>
<td>SB150008</td>
<td>02/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office of the Administrator ...................</td>
<td>Speechwriter ........................................</td>
<td>SB150038</td>
<td>02/06/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Assistant to the Administrator ........</td>
<td>SB150055</td>
<td>02/20/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of State ..........</td>
<td>Office of the Secretary ................................</td>
<td>Special Assistant to the Secretary ..................</td>
<td>DS130052</td>
<td>02/28/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Office of the Secretary ................................</td>
<td>Special Assistant to the Secretary ..................</td>
<td>DT150097</td>
<td>02/08/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office of Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs.</td>
<td>Special Assistant .....................................</td>
<td>DT140049</td>
<td>02/20/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

¹ A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s), as defined in ISE Rule 100(a)(37A).

² The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See ISE Rule 100(a)(25).

³ This maker fee also applies to Non-ISE Market Maker, Firm Proprietary/Broker Dealer and Professional Customer orders in Select Symbols. Priority Customer orders are not charged a maker fee in Select Symbols for orders entered on the regular order book.

A "Non-ISE Market Maker" is a market maker as defined in Section 3(a)(38) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, registered in the same options class on another options exchange.

A "Broker-Dealer" order is an order submitted by a member for its own proprietary account.

A "Broker-Dealer" order is an order submitted by a broker for a broker-dealer account that is not its own proprietary account.

A "Professional Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer and is not a Priority Customer.

A "Market Maker Plus" is a Market Maker who is on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer a $0.63 per contract for Tier 1, $0.71 per contract for Tier 2, $0.79 per contract for Tier 3, $0.81 per contract for Tier 4, $0.83 per contract for Tier 5, and $0.84 per contract for Tier 6.

Recently, a market participant has entered a large volume of valueless complex orders that trade at a net price at or near $0.00 (i.e., "net zero" complex orders) with the sole intention of earning a rebate.³ While these complex orders would generally not find a counterparty in the complex order book, they may leg in to the regular order book where they are typically executed by Market Makers⁴ on the individual legs. The fee that Market Makers quoting in Select Symbols pay when a complex order legs into their quote is substantially higher than their fee or rebate for regular orders that trade against their quotes. In particular, a Market Maker providing liquidity on the individual leg would typically pay a maker fee of only $0.10 per contract,⁵ or in the case of Market Makers that achieve Market Maker Plus status,⁶ would earn a maker rebate.

---

³ For example, a market participant could enter a "net zero" complex order that buys 500 contracts of the $193 March 6, 2016 SPY Put at a price of $0.03 and sells 500 contracts of the $193.50 March 6, 2016 SPY Put at a price of $0.03 for a net price of $0.00.

⁴ The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See ISE Rule 100(a)(25).

⁵ This maker fee also applies to Non-ISE Market Maker, Firm Proprietary/Broker Dealer and Professional Customer orders in Select Symbols. Priority Customer orders are not charged a maker fee in Select Symbols for orders entered on the regular order book.

⁶ A "Non-ISE Market Maker" is a market maker as defined in Section 3(a)(38) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, registered in the same options class on another options exchange.

A "Non-ISE Market Maker" is a market maker as defined in Section 3(a)(38) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, registered in the same options class on another options exchange.

A "Firm Proprietary" order is an order submitted by a member for its own proprietary account.

A "Broker-Dealer" order is an order submitted by a broker for a broker-dealer account that is not its own proprietary account.

A "Professional Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer and is not a Priority Customer.

A "Market Maker Plus" is a Market Maker who is on the National Best Bid or National Best Offer a $0.63 per contract for Tier 1, $0.71 per contract for Tier 2, $0.79 per contract for Tier 3, $0.81 per contract for Tier 4, $0.83 per contract for Tier 5, and $0.84 per contract for Tier 6.
ranging from $0.10 per contract to $0.22 per contract. When trading against a Priority Customer complex order that legs in from the complex order book, however, that same Market Maker is charged a maker fee of $0.30 per contract.8 In Non-Select Symbols, Market Makers pay a fee of $0.25 per contract subject to certain tier discounts,9 or $0.20 per contract for orders sent by an Electronic Access Member.10

By entering essentially valueless complex orders, this market participant or other exchanges pursuing the same strategy are able to recover rebates for essentially non-economic trades at the expense of the Exchange and the market participants on the other side of the trade. This behavior is a form of rebate arbitrage, and the Exchange believes that it is in the best interest of the Exchange and its members to remove the incentives that promote this activity. The Exchange therefore proposes to eliminate Priority Customer rebates for “net zero” complex orders that are entered by originating market participants that execute an ADV of at least 10,000 “net zero” complex orders in a given month. For purposes of determining which complex orders qualify as “net zero” the Exchange will count all complex orders that leg in to the regular order book and are executed at a net price that is within a range of $0.01 credit and $0.01 debit.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,11 in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,12 in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes that the proposed fee change is reasonable and equitable as it is designed to remove financial incentives for market participants to engage in rebate arbitrage by entering “net zero” complex orders on the Exchange that do not have any economic substance. As explained above, Priority Customer complex orders, including “net zero” complex orders that leg in to the regular order book, are currently paid significant rebates by the Exchange, which are funded in part by charging higher fees to the market participants that trade against these orders. The Exchange believes that eliminating the rebate provided to “net zero” complex orders will discourage market participants from entering these valueless orders, which are entered for the sole purpose of earning a rebate. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is not unfairly discriminatory as it is designed to stop market participants from taking advantage of Exchange rebates by entering orders that lack economic substance. The Exchange is proposing to eliminate Priority Customer complex order rebates for all market participants that enter a large number of “net zero” complex orders. To the extent that those market participants enter legitimate complex orders, however, they will continue to receive the same rebates that they do today. In addition, market participants that enter an insubstantial volume of “net zero” complex orders will also continue to receive rebates. The Exchange does not believe that it is unfairly discriminatory to continue to offer rebates to firms that do not hit the proposed “net zero” ADV threshold as this more limited trading activity is not indicative of rebate arbitrage.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,13 the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket or intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is designed to eliminate the ability for certain market participants to engage in rebate arbitrage to the detriment of the Exchange and its members. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct their order flow to competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed fee changes reflect this competitive environment.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act14 and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder,15 because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by ISE.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@ sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–ISE–2016–13 on the subject line.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change Amending NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.31P(h) To Add a New Discretionary Pegged Order

May 12, 2016.

On March 11, 2016, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to amend Exchange Rule 7.31P(h) to add a new Discretionary Pegged Order. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on March 30, 2016.3 The Commission received two comment letters on the proposed rule change, as well as a response from the Exchange.4

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act5 provides that, within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is May 14, 2016. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,6 designates June 28, 2016, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change (File Number SR–NYSEArca–2016–44).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.8

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Description of Price Improving Orders Under Subparagraph (6) to Rule 21.1(d) and Add Subparagraph (4) to Rule 21.1(h) Modifying the Operation of Orders Subject to the Display Price Sliding Process When a Contra-Side Post Only Order Is Received by the Bats EDGX Exchange Options Platform

May 12, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on May 3, 2016, Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. f/k/a EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,4 which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to: (i) Amend the description of Price

---

4. See Letter from Sophia Lee, General Counsel, IEX Group, Inc., to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, dated April 15, 2016; Letter from John C. Nagel, Managing Director and Senior Deputy General Counsel, Citadel LLC, to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, dated April 20, 2016.
5. See Letter from Elizabeth K. King, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, New York Stock Exchange, to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, dated April 27, 2016.